1	IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES			
2	2x			
3	B DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, :			
4	4 ET AL., :			
5	Petitioners :			
6	5 v. : No.	07-290		
7	7 DICK ANTHONY HELLER. :			
8	3x			
9	Washington, D.C.			
LO	Tuesday, March 18	, 2008		
L1	1			
L2	The above-entitled matter	The above-entitled matter came on for oral		
L3	argument before the Supreme Court of the United States			
L4	at 10:06 a.m.			
L5	5 APPEARANCES:			
L6	WALTER DELLINGER, ESQ., Washington, D.C.	; on behalf		
L7	of the Petitioners.	of the Petitioners.		
L8	GEN. PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ., Solicitor Ge	GEN. PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ., Solicitor General,		
L9	Department of Justice, Washington, D.	C.; on behalf		
20	of the United States, as amicus curia	e.		
21	ALAN GURA, ESQ., Alexandria, Va.; on behalf of the			
22	Respondent.			
23	3			
24	4			
25				

1	CONTENTS	
2	ORAL ARGUMENT OF	PAGE
3	WALTER DELLINGER, ESQ.	
4	On behalf of the Petitioners	3
5	GEN. PAUL D. CLEMENT, ESQ.	
6	On behalf of the United States,	
7	as amicus curiae	27
8	ALAN GURA, ESQ.	
9	On behalf of the Respondent	48
10	REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF	
11	WALTER DELLINGER, ESQ.	
12	On behalf of the Petitioners	81
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	(10:06 a.m.)	
3	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: We will hear	
4	argument today in Case 07-290, District of Columbia	
5	versus Heller.	
6	Mr. Dellinger.	
7	ORAL ARGUMENT OF WALTER DELLINGER	
8	ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS	
9	MR. DELLINGER: Good morning, Mr. Chief	
LO	Justice, and may it please the Court:	
L1	The Second Amendment was a direct response	
L2	to concern over Article I, Section 8 of the	
L3	Constitution, which gave the new national Congress the	
L4	surprising, perhaps even the shocking, power to	
L5	organize, arm, and presumably disarm the State militias	
L6	What is at issue this morning is the scope and nature of	
L7	the individual right protected by the resulting	
L8	amendment and the first text to consider is the phrase	
L9	protecting a right to keep and bear arms. In the	
20	debates over the Second Amendment, every person who used	
21	the phrase "bear arms" used it to refer to the use of	
22	arms in connection with militia service and when Madison	
23	introduced the amendment in the first Congress, he	
24	exactly equated the phrase "bearing arms" with, quote,	
25	"rendering military service." We know this from the	

- 1 inclusion in his draft of a clause exempting those with
- 2 religious scruples. His clause says "The right of the
- 3 people to keep and bear arms shall not be infringed, a
- 4 well armed and well regulated militia being the best
- 5 security of a free country, but no person religiously
- 6 scrupulous of bearing arms shall be compelled to render
- 7 military service in person."
- 8 And even if the language of keeping and
- 9 bearing arms were ambiguous, the amendment's first
- 10 clause confirms that the right is militia-related.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: If you're right,
- 12 Mr. Dellinger, it's certainly an odd way in the Second
- 13 Amendment to phrase the operative provision. If it is
- 14 limited to State militias, why would they say "the right
- 15 of the people"? In other words, why wouldn't they say
- 16 "state militias have the right to keep arms"?
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: Mr. Chief Justice, I believe
- 18 that the phrase "the people" and the phrase "the
- 19 militia" were really in -- in sync with each other. You
- 20 will see references in the debates of, the Federalist
- 21 Farmer uses the phrase "the people are the militia, the
- 22 militia are the people."
- 23 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But if that's right,
- 24 doesn't that cut against you? If the militia included
- 25 all the people, doesn't the preamble that you rely on

- 1 not really restrict the right much at all? It includes
- 2 all the people.
- 3 MR. DELLINGER: Yes, I do believe it
- 4 includes all the people in the sense of
- 5 Verdugo-Urquidez, all those who are part of the polity.
- 6 What -- what defines the amendment is the scope and
- 7 nature of the right that the people have. It's, it is a
- 8 right to participate in the common defense and you have
- 9 a right invocable in court if a Federal regulation
- 10 interferes with your right to train for or whatever the
- 11 militia has established. So that --
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: One of the concerns,
- 13 Mr. Dellinger, of the framers, was not to establish a
- 14 practice of amending the Constitution and its important
- 15 provisions, and it seems to me that there is an
- 16 interpretation of the Second Amendment differing from
- 17 that of the district court and in Miller and not
- 18 advanced particularly in the red brief, but that
- 19 conforms the two clauses and in effect delinks them.
- 20 The first clause I submit can be read consistently with
- 21 the purpose I've indicated of simply reaffirming the
- 22 existence and the importance of the militia clause.
- 23 Those were very important clauses. As you've indicated,
- 24 they're in Article I and Article II. And so in effect
- 25 the amendment says we reaffirm the right to have a

- 1 militia, we've established it, but in addition, there is
- 2 a right to bear arms. Can you comment on that?
- 3 MR. DELLINGER: Yes.
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: And this makes, it does --
- 5 I think you're write right in the brief to say that the
- 6 preface shouldn't be extraneous. This means it's not
- 7 extraneous. The Constitution reaffirms the rights,
- 8 reaffirm several principles: The right of the people to
- 9 peaceably assemble, the right to be secure in their
- 10 homes, the Tenth Amendment reaffirms the rights, and
- 11 this is simply a reaffirmation of the militia clause.
- 12 MR. DELLINGER: Justice Kennedy, I think any
- interpretation that delinks the two clauses as if they
- 14 were dealing with related but nonetheless different
- 15 subject matters has that to count against it, and what
- 16 you don't see in the debates over the Second Amendment
- 17 are references to, in those debates, the use of weapons
- 18 for personal purposes. What you see is the clause that,
- 19 that literally transposes to this: "Because a well
- 20 regulated militia is necessary to the security of a free
- 21 State, the right of the people to keep and bear arms
- 22 shall not be" --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well the subject is "arms"
- in both clauses, as I've suggested is the common
- 25 subject, and they're closely related.

1 MR. DELLINGER: I think, as this Court 2 unanimously held in Miller, or at least noted in Miller -- I'll leave aside the debate. The court 3 4 unanimously said in Miller that the Second Amendment 5 must be interpreted in light of its obvious purpose to ensure the continuation and render possible the 6 7 effectiveness of the military forces. JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't see how there's 8 any, any, any contradiction between reading the second 9 10 clause as a -- as a personal guarantee and reading the 11 first one as assuring the existence of a militia, not 12 necessarily a State-managed militia because the militia 13 that resisted the British was not State- managed. But 14 why isn't it perfectly plausible, indeed reasonable, to 15 assume that since the framers knew that the way militias 16 were destroyed by tyrants in the past was not by passing 17 a law against militias, but by taking away the people's 18 weapons -- that was the way militias were destroyed. 19 The two clauses go together beautifully: Since we need 20 a militia, the right of the people to keep and bear arms 21 shall not be infringed. 22 MR. DELLINGER: Yes, but once you assume 23 that the clause is designed to protect the militia, it -- surely it's the militia that decides whether personal 24 possession is necessary. I mean, Miller -- what makes 25

- 1 no sense is for Miller to require the arm to be
- 2 militia-related if the right is not, and the key phrase
- 3 is "bear arms." If people --
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, do you think the
- 5 clause, the second clause, the operative clause, is
- 6 related to something other than the militia?
- 7 MR. DELLINGER: No. I think --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: All right. Well, then --
- 9 MR. DELLINGER: -- the second clause, the
- 10 phrase "keep and bear arms," when "bear arms" is
- 11 referred to -- is referred to in a military context,
- 12 that is so that even if you left aside --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: It had nothing to do with
- 14 the concern of the remote settler to defend himself and
- 15 his family against hostile Indian tribes and outlaws,
- 16 wolves and bears and grizzlies and things like that?
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: That is not the discourse
- 18 that is part of the Second Amendment. And when you read
- 19 the debates, the congressional debates, the only use of
- 20 the phrase "keep and bear arms" is a military phrase,
- 21 and --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Blackstone thought it was
- 23 important. Blackstone thought it was important. He
- 24 thought the right of self-defense was inherent, and the
- 25 framers were devoted to Blackstone. Joseph Story, the

- 1 first commentator on the Constitution and a member of
- 2 this Court, thought it was a personal guarantee.
- 3 MR. DELLINGER: When Blackstone speaks of
- 4 the personal guarantee, he describes it as one of the
- 5 use of weapons, a common law right. And if we're
- 6 constitutionalizing the Blackstonian common law right,
- 7 he speaks of a right that is subject to due restrictions
- 8 and applies to, quote "such weapons, such as are allowed
- 9 by law." So Blackstone builds in the kind of
- 10 reasonableness of the regulation that the District of
- 11 Columbia has. Now, the --
- 12 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, that may be
- 13 true, but that concedes your main point that there is an
- 14 individual right and gets to the separate question of
- 15 whether the regulations at issue here are reasonable.
- 16 MR. DELLINGER: I don't dispute, Mr. Chief
- 17 Justice, that the Second Amendment is positive law that
- 18 a litigant can invoke in court if a State were to decide
- 19 after recent events that it couldn't rely upon the
- 20 Federal Government in natural disasters and wanted to
- 21 have a State-only militia and wanted to have everybody
- 22 trained in the use of a weapon, a Federal law that
- interfered with that would be a law that could be
- 24 challenged in court by, by an individual. I mean, I
- 25 think the better --

1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Mr. Dellinger --2 MR. DELLINGER: Yes. JUSTICE GINSBURG: -- short of that, just to 3 4 get your position clear, short of reactivating State 5 militias, on your reading does the Second Amendment have any effect today as a restraint on legislation? 6 7 MR. DELLINGER: It would, Justice Ginsburg, 8 if the State had a militia and had attributes of the militia contrary to a Federal law. And if it didn't --9 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But it doesn't, as far as 11 I know. 12 MR. DELLINGER: As far as I know, today it 13 doesn't. And I'm not -- and the Respondents make that, 14 that argument that the amendment is without a use. But 15 you don't make up a new use for an amendment whose 16 prohibitions aren't being violated. I mean --17 JUSTICE ALITO: Your argument is that its 18 purpose was to prevent the disarming of the organized 19 militia, isn't that correct? 20 MR. DELLINGER: That is correct. 21 JUSTICE ALITO: And if that was the purpose, then how could they -- how could the Framers of the 22 23 Second Amendment have thought that it would achieve that person, because Congress has virtually plenary power 24 25 over the militia under the militia clauses?

- 1 MR. DELLINGER: That is because, I think,
- 2 Justice Alito, that those who wanted to retake State
- 3 authority over the militia didn't get everything they
- 4 wanted. Madison actually did this somewhat reluctantly
- 5 and wanted to maintain national control.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: They got nothing at all,
- 7 not everything they wanted. They got nothing at all.
- 8 So long as it was up to the Federal Government to
- 9 regulate the militia and to assure that they were armed,
- 10 the Federal Government could, could disband the State
- 11 militias.
- MR. DELLINGER: Yes, but if -- well --
- 13 JUSTICE SCALIA: So what, what was the
- 14 function served by the Second Amendment as far as the
- 15 militia is concerned?
- 16 MR. DELLINGER: It is by no means clear that
- 17 the Federal Government could abolish the State militia.
- 18 It may be presupposed by the Article I, Section 8,
- 19 clauses 15 and 16, and by the Second Amendment that the
- 20 States may have a militia. That issue has been left
- 21 open as to whether you could do that, and it can be
- 22 called into Federal service but only in particular
- 23 circumstances.
- Now I think the better argument for the
- other side, if, if there is to be a militia relatedness

- 1 aspect of the Second Amendment, as we think clear from
- 2 all of its terms, then Heller's proposed use of a
- 3 handgun has no connection of any kind to the
- 4 preservation or efficiency of a militia and therefore
- 5 the case is over.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, but your
- 7 reading of the militia clause, the militia clause
- 8 specifically reserves concern rights to the States by
- 9 its terms. And as I understand your reading, you would
- 10 be saying the Second Amendment was designed to take away
- 11 or expand upon the rights that are reserved, rather than
- 12 simply guaranteeing what rights were understood to be
- 13 implicit in the Constitution itself.
- 14 MR. DELLINGER: I'm not sure I followed the,
- 15 the question exactly, but --
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, the militia
- 17 clause, Article I, Section 8, says certain rights are
- 18 reserved to the States with respect to the militia. And
- 19 yet you're telling us now that this was a very important
- 20 right that ensured that they kept arms, but it wasn't
- 21 listed in the rights that were reserved in the militia
- 22 clause.
- MR. DELLINGER: The debate over the militia
- 24 clause -- what is shocking about the militia clauses is
- 25 that this is a, a new national government that for the

- 1 first time has the power to create a standing army of
- 2 professionals. The militia were people who came from
- 3 the people themselves, put down their weapons of trade.
- 4 The States were devoted to the ides of their militia of
- 5 volunteers, and of all the powers granted to the Federal
- 6 Government one of the most surprising was to say that
- 7 Congress shall have the power to organize, arm, and
- 8 discipline the militia and to -- even though the
- 9 officers could be appointed by the State, the discipline
- 10 had to be according to Congress. And this was -- this
- 11 caused a tremendous negative reaction to the proposed
- 12 Constitution.
- 13 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But the Second -- the
- 14 Second Amendment doesn't repeal that. You don't take
- 15 the position that Congress no longer has the power to
- 16 organize, arm, and discipline the militia, do you?
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: No.
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So it was supplementing
- 19 it. And my question is, the question before us, is how
- 20 and to what extent did it supplement it. And in my view
- 21 it supplemented it by saying there's a general right to
- 22 bear arms quite without reference to the militia either
- 23 way.
- 24 MR. DELLINGER: It restricted in our view
- 25 the authority of the Federal Government to interfere

- 1 with the arming of the militia by the States. And the
- 2 word that caused the most focus was to "arm" and that is
- 3 to disarm.
- Now, what I think is happening is that two
- 5 different rights are being put together. One was a
- 6 textual right to protect the militia. I think the
- 7 better argument for the -- for the other side, for
- 8 Mr. Heller, is that the amendment's purpose is militia
- 9 protective, but it was overinclusive in the way that
- 10 several of you have suggested, and that is that, as the
- 11 court below said, preserving the individual right,
- 12 presumably to have guns for personal use, was the best
- 13 way to ensure that the militia could serve when called.
- But that right, this right of personal
- 15 liberty, the Blackstonian right, is an unregulated right
- 16 to whatever arm, wherever kept, however you want to
- 17 store it, and for the purposes an individual decides,
- 18 that is a libertarian ideal. It's not the text of the
- 19 Second Amendment, which is expressly about the security
- of the State; it's about well-regulated militias, not
- 21 unregulated individual license, as is --
- JUSTICE SOUTER: So what you are -- what you
- 23 are saying is that the individual has a right to
- 24 challenge a Federal law which in effect would disarm the
- 25 militia and make it impossible for the militia to

- 1 perform those functions that militias function. Isn't
- 2 that the nub of what you're saying?
- MR. DELLINGER: Yes. That is correct.
- 4 JUSTICE SOUTER: Okay.
- 5 MR. DELLINGER: And if the Court --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: May ask this question,
- 7 Mr. Dellinger? To what extent do you think the similar
- 8 provisions in State constitutions that were adopted more
- 9 or less at the same time are relevant to our inquiry?
- 10 MR. DELLINGER: I think they are highly
- 11 relevant to your inquiry because now 42 States have
- 12 adopted constitutional provisions.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: I'm not talking about
- 14 those.
- MR. DELLINGER: You're talking about at the
- 16 time.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: I'm talking about the
- 18 contemporaneous actions of the States, before or at the
- 19 time of the adoption of the Second Amendment.
- 20 MR. DELLINGER: I think that the -- the
- 21 State amendments are generally written in different --
- 22 in different terms. If you're going to protect the kind
- 23 of right that is -- that is being spoken of here,
- 24 different from the militia right, the plain language to
- 25 do it would be "Congress or the States shall pass no law

- 1 abridging the right of any person to possess weapons for
- 2 personal use." And that's not the right that is created
- 3 here.
- 4 One of the troublesome aspects of viewing
- 5 this as a right of personal use is that that is the kind
- 6 of fundamental liberty interest that would create a real
- 7 potential for disruption. Once you unmoor it from -- or
- 8 untether it from its connection to the protection of the
- 9 State militia, you have the kind of right that could
- 10 easily be restrictions on State and local governments
- 11 and --
- 12 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, there's no question
- 13 that the English struggled with how to work this. You
- 14 couldn't conceal a gun and you also couldn't carry it,
- 15 but yet you had a right to have it.
- 16 Let me ask you this: Do you think the
- 17 Second Amendment is more restrictive or more expansive
- 18 of the right than the English Bill of Rights in 1689?
- MR. DELLINGER: I think it doesn't address
- 20 the same subject matter as the English Bill of Rights.
- 21 I think it's related to the use of weapons as part of
- 22 the civic duty of participating in the common defense,
- 23 and it's -- and it's -- it's --
- 24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I think that would be more
- 25 restrictive.

- 1 MR. DELLINGER: That -- that could well --
- 2 the answer then would be --
- JUSTICE SOUTER: Well isn't it -- isn't it
- 4 more restrictive in the sense that the English Bill of
- 5 Rights was a guarantee against the crown, and it did not
- 6 preclude Parliament from passing a statute that would
- 7 regulate and perhaps limit --
- 8 MR. DELLINGER: Well --
- 9 JUSTICE SOUTER: Here there is some
- 10 quarantee against what Congress can do.
- 11 MR. DELLINGER: Parliament could regulate.
- 12 And Blackstone appears to approve of precisely the kinds
- 13 of regulations here. Now --
- 14 JUSTICE STEVENS: The Bill of Rights only
- 15 protected the rights of protestants.
- 16 MR. DELLINGER: This is correct.
- 17 JUSTICE STEVENS: And it was suitable to
- 18 their conditions then as allowed by law, so it was -- it
- 19 was a group right and much more limited.
- 20 MR. DELLINGER: I think that is -- that's
- 21 correct.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: And as I recall the
- 23 legislation against Scottish highlanders and against --
- 24 against Roman Catholics did use the term -- forbade them
- 25 to keep and bear arms, and they weren't just talking

- 1 about their joining militias; they were talking about
- 2 whether they could have arms.
- 3 MR. DELLINGER: Well, the different kind of
- 4 right that you're talking about, to take this to the
- 5 question of -- of what the standard ought to be for
- 6 applying this, even if this extended beyond a
- 7 militia-based right, if it did, it sounds more like the
- 8 part of an expansive public or personal -- an expansive
- 9 personal liberty right, and if it -- if it is, I think
- 10 you ought to consider the effect on the 42 States who
- 11 have been getting along fine with State constitutional
- 12 provisions that do expressly protect an individual right
- of -- of weapons for personal use, but in those States,
- 14 they have adopted a reasonableness standard that has
- 15 allowed them to sustain sensible regulation of dangerous
- 16 weapons. And if you --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What is -- what is
- 18 reasonable about a total ban on possession?
- 19 MR. DELLINGER: What is reasonable about a
- 20 total ban on possession is that it's a ban only an the
- 21 possession of one kind of weapon, of handguns, that's
- 22 been considered especially -- especially dangerous. The
- 23 --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So if you have a law
- 25 that prohibits the possession of books, it's all right

- 1 if you allow the possession of newspapers?
- 2 MR. DELLINGER: No, it's not, and the
- 3 difference is quite clear. If -- if you -- there is no
- 4 limit to the public discourse. If there is an
- 5 individual right to guns for personal use, it's to carry
- 6 out a purpose, like protecting the home. You could not,
- 7 for example, say that no one may have more than 50
- 8 books. But a law that said no one may possess more than
- 9 50 guns would -- would in fact be I think quite
- 10 reasonable.
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: The regulation --
- 12 the regulation at issue here is not one that goes to the
- 13 number of guns. It goes to the specific type. And I
- 14 understood your argument to be in your brief that
- 15 because rifles and shotguns are not banned to the staple
- 16 extent as handguns, it's all right to ban handguns.
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: That is correct because
- 18 there is no showing in this case that rifles and
- 19 handguns are not fully satisfactory to carry out the
- 20 purposes. And what -- and what the court below says
- 21 about -- about the elimination of this --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: The purposes of what?
- MR. DELLINGER: I'm sorry.
- 24 JUSTICE KENNEDY: You said there is no
- 25 showing that rifles and handguns. I think you meant

- 1 rifles and other guns.
- MR. DELLINGER: Yes, I'm sorry. Rifles and
- 3 handquns.
- 4 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Is necessary for the
- 5 purpose of what? What is the purpose?
- 6 MR. DELLINGER: The purpose -- if the
- 7 purpose -- if we are shifting and if we assume for a
- 8 moment arguendo that you believe this is a right
- 9 unconnected to the militia, then the purpose would be,
- 10 say, defense of the home. And where the government
- 11 here, where the -- where the correct standard has been
- 12 applied, which is where a State or the district has
- 13 carefully balanced the considerations of gun ownership
- 14 and public safety, has eliminated one weapon, the court
- 15 below has an absolutist standard that cannot be
- 16 sustained. The court below says that once it is
- determined that handguns are, quote, "arms," unquote,
- 18 referred to in the Second Amendment, it is not open to
- 19 the District to ban them. And that doesn't promote the
- 20 security of a free State.
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But wasn't there a leeway
- for some weapon prohibition? Let me ask you, in
- 23 relation to the States that do have guarantees of the
- 24 right to possess a weapon at home: Do some of those
- 25 States say there are certain kinds of guns that you

- 1 can't have, like machine guns?
- MR. DELLINGER: Yes. And here what the
- 3 opinion below would do instead -- would -- it's hard to
- 4 see on the opinion below why machine guns or
- 5 armor-piercing bullets or other dangerous weapons
- 6 wouldn't be categorically protected --
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Could you go back to the --
- 8 MR. DELLINGER: -- in those States --
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: If I could just have one
- 10 follow-on on Justice Ginsburg real quick. Do those
- 11 States -- Justice Ginsburg asked -- that distinguish
- 12 among weapons, State constitutional provisions do not do
- 13 so?
- MR. DELLINGER: No, it's not in the text of
- 15 the State constitutional provision; it's in their --
- 16 JUSTICE GINSBURG: It's in interpretation.
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: -- reasonable application.
- 18 And here, the question is how has the balance been
- 19 struck? The District allows law-abiding citizens to
- 20 have functioning firearms in the home. From the time it
- 21 was introduced in 1976, it has been the consistent
- 22 position that you're entitled to have a functioning
- 23 firearm. At issue is the one type of weapon --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Mr. Dellinger, let's come
- 25 back to your description of the opinion below as

- 1 allowing armor-piercing bullets and machine guns. I
- 2 didn't read it that way. I thought the opinion below
- 3 said it had to be the kind of weapon that was common for
- 4 the people --
- 5 MR. DELLINGER: That is --
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: -- that is common for the
- 7 people to have. And I don't know -- I don't know that a
- 8 lot of people have machine guns or armor-piercing
- 9 bullets. I think that's quite unusual. But having a
- 10 pistol is not unusual.
- 11 MR. DELLINGER: The number of machine guns,
- 12 I believe, is in excess of a hundred thousand that are
- 13 out there now, that are --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: How many people in the
- 15 country?
- 16 MR. DELLINGER: Well, there are 300 million,
- 17 but whether that's common or not, but the --
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't think it's common.
- 19 MR. DELLINGER: But it's the -- the court
- 20 protects weapons suitable for military use that are
- 21 lineal descendants. I don't know why an improved bullet
- 22 wouldn't be covered, unless you adopt the kind of
- 23 reasonableness standard that we suggest, where you look
- 24 to the fact that -- and I don't -- some people think
- 25 machine guns are more dangerous than handguns -- they

- 1 shoot a lot of people at once -- but a handgun is
- 2 concealable and movable. It can be taken into schools,
- 3 into buses, into government office buildings, and that
- 4 is the particular danger it poses in a densely populated
- 5 urban area.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, I'm not sure
- 7 that it's accurate to say the opinion below allowed
- 8 those. The law that the opinion, the court below, was
- 9 confronted with was a total ban, so that was the only
- 10 law they considered.
- 11 If the District passes a ban on machine guns
- 12 or whatever, then that law -- that law would be
- 13 considered by the court and perhaps would be upheld as
- 14 reasonable. But the only law they had before them was a
- 15 total ban.
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: Or a law on the carrying of
- 17 concealed weapons, which would include pistols, of
- 18 course.
- MR. DELLINGER: Let me fight back on the
- 20 notion that it's a -- it's a total ban. It's not as if
- 21 every kind of weapon is useful.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Are you allowed to
- 23 carry the weapons that are allowed? I read the "carry
- 24 clause" to apply without qualification. So while you
- 25 say you might be able to have a shotgun in the home, you

- 1 can't carry it to get there.
- 2 MR. DELLINGER: No. You can -- you can with
- 3 a proper license. The District has made it clear that
- 4 there is no doubt that it interprets its laws to allow a
- 5 functioning gun. And to say that something is a total
- 6 ban when you own only one particular kind of weapon
- 7 would apply to a machine gun if it were or came into
- 8 common use and --
- 9 JUSTICE ALITO: But even if you have -- even
- 10 if you have a rifle or a shotgun in your home, doesn't
- 11 the code prevent you from loading it and unlocking it
- 12 except when it's being used for lawful, recreational
- 13 purposes within the District of Columbia? So even if
- 14 you have the gun, under this code provision it doesn't
- 15 seem as if you could use it for the defense of your
- 16 home.
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: That is not the city's
- 18 position, and we have no dispute with the other side on
- 19 the point of what the right answer should be.
- It is a universal or near universal rule of
- 21 criminal law that there is a self-defense exception. It
- 22 goes without saying. We have no argument whatsoever
- 23 with the notion that you may load and have a weapon
- 24 ready when you need to use it for self-defense.
- 25 I'm going to reserve the remainder of my

- 1 time for rebuttal.
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why don't you
- 3 remain, Mr. Dellinger. We'll make sure you have
- 4 rebuttal.
- 5 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Because I did interrupt
- 6 Justice Breyer.
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: I just wondered if you
- 8 could say in a minute. One possibility is that the
- 9 amendment gives nothing more than a right to the State
- 10 to raise a militia. A second possibility is that it
- 11 gives an individual right to a person, but for the
- 12 purpose of allowing people to have guns to form a
- 13 militia. Assume the second. If you assume the second,
- 14 I wanted you to respond if you -- unless you have done
- 15 so fully already, to what was the Chief Justice's
- 16 question of why, on the second assumption, this ban on
- 17 handguns, not the other part, of the District of
- 18 Columbia, a total ban, why is that a reasonable
- 19 regulation viewed in terms of the purposes as I
- 20 described them?
- 21 MR. DELLINGER: It's a reasonable regulation
- 22 for two kinds of reasons.
- 23 First, in order -- the amendment speaks of a
- 24 well-regulated militia. Perhaps it's the case that
- 25 having everybody have whatever gun they want of whatever

- 1 kind would advance a well- regulated militia, but
- 2 perhaps not. But, in any event --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: It means "well trained,"
- 4 doesn't it?
- 5 MR. DELLINGER: When you -- when you have
- 6 one --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Doesn't "well regulated"
- 8 mean "well trained"? It doesn't mean -- it doesn't mean
- 9 "massively regulated." It means "well trained."
- MR. DELLINGER: Well, every -- every phrase
- of the amendment, like "well regulated," "security of
- 12 the State, " is something different than a -- a
- 13 libertarian right. Here you have, I think, a fully --
- on this, particularly on a facial challenge, there is no
- 15 showing that rifles and shotguns are not fully available
- 16 for all of the purposes of defense.
- 17 There is no indication that the District
- 18 militia is an entity that needs individuals to have
- 19 their own handguns. You -- you -- there is a step that
- 20 is -- that is missing here. The well-regulated militia
- 21 is not necessarily about everyone having a gun. A
- 22 militia may decide to organize -- be organized that way,
- 23 in which case you would have a different notion.
- But here, I think, when you come down to
- 25 apply this case, if you look at about five factors, that

- 1 other weapons are allowed, important regulatory
- 2 interests of these particularly dangerous weapons are --
- 3 is clearly a significant regulatory, and important
- 4 regulatory, interest. In two respects this is removed
- 5 from the core of the amendment. Even if it is not
- 6 limited to militia service, even in the court below, no
- 7 one doubts that that was, as the court below said, the
- 8 most salient objective.
- 9 So this is in the penumbra or the periphery,
- 10 not the core. It was undoubtedly aimed principally, if
- 11 not exclusively, at national legislation which displaced
- 12 the laws in all of the States, rural as well as urban.
- Here you've got local legislation responsive
- 14 to local needs, and this is local legislation in the
- 15 seat of the government where Congress, which was created
- 16 in order to protect the security of the national
- 17 government, and where it would be extraordinary to
- 18 assume that this is the one place that you're not going
- 19 to incorporate it, the one area in the United States
- 20 where no government, free of restrictions of the Second
- 21 Amendment, could control dangerous weapons.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
- 23 Mr. Dellinger.
- 24 General Clement.
- 25 ORAL ARGUMENT OF GEN. PAUL D. CLEMENT

Τ	ON BEHALF OF THE UNITED STATES,
2	AS AMICUS CURIAE
3	GENERAL CLEMENT: Mr. Chief Justice, and may
4	it please the Court:
5	The Second Amendment to the Constitution, as
6	its text indicates, guarantees an individual right that
7	does not depend on eligibility for or service in the
8	militia.
9	JUSTICE STEVENS: May I ask you a
10	preliminary question. Do you think it has the same
11	meaning that it would have if it omitted the
12	introductory clause referring to militia?
13	GENERAL CLEMENT: I don't think so, Justice
14	Stevens, because we don't take the position that the
15	preamble plays no role in interpreting the amendment.
16	And we would point to this court's decision in Miller,
17	for example, as an example of where the preamble can
18	play a role in determining the scope
19	JUSTICE STEVENS: So you think some weight
20	should be given to the clause. And also, the other
21	question I wanted to ask you is: Does the right to keep
22	and bear arms define one or two rights?
23	GENERAL CLEMENT: Oh, I suppose it probably
24	does define two rights that are closely related.
25	JUSTICE STEVENS: There's a right to keep

- 1 arms and a right to bear arms?
- 2 GENERAL CLEMENT: I think that's the better
- 3 view, and a number of State courts that have interpreted
- 4 analogous provisions have distinguished between the two
- 5 rights and looked at them differently.
- And, obviously, the term "keep" is a word
- 7 that I think is something of an embarrassment for an
- 8 effort to try to imbue every term in the operative text
- 9 with an exclusively military connotation because that is
- 10 not one that really has an exclusive military
- 11 connotation. As Justice Scalia pointed out, "keep" was
- 12 precisely the word that authorities used in statutes
- 13 designed specifically to disarm individuals.
- 14 JUSTICE GINSBURG: It doesn't means all. It
- 15 doesn't mean -- "keep," on your reading, at least if
- 16 it's consistent with Miller, keep and bear some arms,
- 17 but not all arms.
- 18 GENERAL CLEMENT: Absolutely, Justice
- 19 Ginsburg, and just -- I mean, to give you a clear
- 20 example, we would take the position that the kind of
- 21 plastic guns or guns that are specifically designed to
- 22 evade metal detectors that are prohibited by Federal law
- are not "arms" within the meaning of the Second
- 24 Amendment and are not protected at all.
- 25 And that would be the way we would say that

- 1 you should analyze that provision of Federal law, as
- 2 those are not even arms within the provisions of the
- 3 Second Amendment.
- I think to make the same argument about
- 5 machine guns would be a much more difficult argument, to
- 6 say the least, given that they are the standard-issue
- 7 weapon for today's armed forces and the State-organized
- 8 militia.
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: So in your view this
- 10 amendment has nothing to do with the right of people
- 11 living in the wilderness to protect themselves, despite
- 12 maybe an attempt by the Federal Government, which is
- 13 what the Second Amendment applies to, to take away their
- 14 weapons?
- 15 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, Justice Kennedy, I
- 16 wouldn't say that it has no application there. As I
- 17 say, I think the term "arms," especially if Miller is
- 18 going to continue to be the law, is influenced by the
- 19 preamble. But the way we would look at it --
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: I agree that Miller is
- 21 consistent with what you've just said, but it seems to
- 22 me Miller, which kind of ends abruptly as an opinion
- 23 writing anyway, is just insufficient to subscribe -- to
- 24 describe the interests that must have been foremost in
- 25 the framers' minds when they were concerned about guns

- 1 being taken away from the people who needed them for
- 2 their defense.
- 3 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, Justice Kennedy, we
- 4 would analyze it this way, which is we would say that
- 5 probably the thing that was foremost in the framers'
- 6 minds was a concern that the militia not be disarmed
- 7 such that it would be maintained as a viable option to
- 8 the standing army. But especially when you remember, as
- 9 Justice Alito pointed out, that the Constitution in
- 10 Article I, Section 8, clauses 15 and 16, the militia
- 11 clauses, as unamended, gave the Federal power -- the
- 12 Federal authorities virtually plenary authority to deal
- 13 with the organization and regulation of the militia.
- 14 The most obvious way that you could protect the militia
- 15 --
- 16 JUSTICE STEVENS: Not plenary authority.
- 17 Not plenary authority.
- 18 GENERAL CLEMENT: Except for that which is
- 19 reserved in --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Who appoints the officers?
- 21 GENERAL CLEMENT: Yes -- no, absolutely.
- 22 There is something reserved in clause 16.
- But let me just say, if the Second Amendment
- 24 had the meaning that the District of Columbia ascribes
- 25 to it, one would certainly think that James Madison,

- 1 when he proposed the Second Amendment would have
- 2 proposed it as an amendment to Article I, Section 8,
- 3 clause 16.
- 4 He didn't. He proposed it as an amendment
- 5 to Article I, Section 9, which encapsulates the
- 6 individual rights to be free from bills of retainder and
- 7 ex post facto clauses.
- 8 JUSTICE STEVENS: Do you think he was guided
- 9 at all by the contemporaneous provisions in State
- 10 constitutions?
- 11 MR. DELLINGER: I am sure he was influenced
- 12 by that, although I think, honestly --
- 13 JUSTICE STEVENS: And how many of them
- 14 protected an individual right? Just two, right?
- 15 GENERAL CLEMENT: I think -- I think
- 16 Pennsylvania and Vermont are the ones that most
- 17 obviously protected.
- 18 JUSTICE STEVENS: And the others quite
- 19 clearly went in the other direction, did they not?
- 20 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, I don't know about
- 21 quite clearly. The textual indication in the State
- 22 amendments that probably most obviously goes in the
- 23 other direction is the phrase "keep and bear arms for
- 24 the common defense." And, of course, there was a
- 25 proposal during the debate over the Second Amendment to

- 1 add exactly those words to the Second Amendment, and
- 2 that proposal was defeated, which does --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: There was also a proposal
- 4 to make it clear there was an individual right, which
- 5 was also rejected.
- 6 GENERAL CLEMENT: I'm sorry, Justice
- 7 Stevens. Which aspect of that did you have in mind?
- 8 JUSTICE STEVENS: The Pennsylvania proposal.
- 9 GENERAL CLEMENT: Oh, but I don't think that
- 10 ever made it to the floor of the House or the Senate
- 11 that I'm aware of. And I think that this happened at
- 12 the actual Senate floor. There was a proposal to add
- 13 the words "in the common defense," and that was
- 14 rejected. I mean, but --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: You think Madison was
- 16 guided by the experience and the expressions of the
- 17 right in English law, including the Bill of Rights of
- 18 1689?
- 19 GENERAL CLEMENT: I do, Justice Kennedy, and
- 20 I think in that regard it is telling that -- I mean,
- 21 there are a variety of provisions in our Bill of Rights
- 22 that were borrowed from the English Bill of Rights. Two
- 23 very principal ones are the right to petition the
- 24 government and the right to keep and bear arms. I don't
- 25 think it's an accident --

- 1 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If we're going back to
- 2 the English Bill of Rights, it was always understood to
- 3 be subject to the control and limitation and restriction
- 4 of Parliament. And I don't think there's any doubt
- 5 about that. And that's what we're talking about here,
- 6 are legislative restrictions.
- 7 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, Justice Ginsburg, I
- 8 think you could say the same thing for every provision
- 9 of the English Bill of Rights. And obviously, when
- 10 those were translated over to our system you had to make
- 11 adjustment for --
- JUSTICE SOUTER: But isn't there one
- 13 difference? Not every provision of the English Bill of
- 14 Rights had an express reference to permission by law,
- 15 which is a reference to parliamentary authority. So
- 16 that there -- there was a peculiar recognition
- 17 of parliamentary legislative authority on this subject.
- 18 GENERAL CLEMENT: That's exactly right,
- 19 Justice Souter. And the way I counted it, I only found
- 20 three provisions in the English Bill of Rights that had
- 21 a comparable reference to Parliament.
- 22 JUSTICE STEVENS: This provision has the
- 23 additional limitation to "suitable to their conditions,"
- 24 and a large number of people were not permitted to have
- 25 arms.

- 1 GENERAL CLEMENT: Again, that is also true 2 and is also relatively unique to this amendment. And if
- 3 I get to the point in the argument where I talk about
- 4 why we think that something less than strict scrutiny is
- 5 appropriate, I think I would point precisely to those
- 6 elements of the English Bill of Rights as being
- 7 relevant.
- 8 But what I was about to say is I think what
- 9 is highly relevant in considering the threshold question
- 10 of whether there's an individual right here at all is
- 11 that the parallel provisions in the English Bill of
- 12 Rights that were borrowed over included the right to
- 13 petition and the right to keep and bear arms. Both of
- 14 those appear with specific parallel references to the
- 15 people. They are both rights that are given to the
- 16 people.
- 17 And as this Court has made clear in
- 18 Verdugo-Urquidez, that's a reference that
- 19 appears throughout the Bill of Rights as a reference to
- 20 the entire citizenry.
- 21 JUSTICE SOUTER: May I go back to another
- 22 point, which is to the same point, and that is
- 23 consistent with your emphasis on the people was your
- 24 emphasis a moment ago on the distinction between keeping
- 25 and bearing arms. The "keep" part sounds in your, in

- 1 your mind at least, to speak of an individual right not
- 2 necessarily limited by, by the exigencies of military
- 3 service.
- 4 My question is, if that is correct and
- 5 "keep" should be read as, in effect, an independent
- 6 guarantee, then what is served by the phrase "and bear"?
- 7 In other words, if the people can keep them and they
- 8 have them there for use in the militia as well as to
- 9 hunt deer, why do we -- why do we have to have a further
- 10 reference in there to a right to bear as well as to keep
- 11 arms? And my point is it sounds to me as though "keep
- 12 and bear" forms one phrase rather than two. But I want
- 13 to know what your answer is to that.
- 14 GENERAL CLEMENT: The way I would read it,
- 15 Justice Souter, is that "keep" is really talking about
- 16 private possession in the home. And the way that I
- 17 would look at it is in order to exercise, for example,
- 18 an opportunity to hunt, that you would need to bear the
- 19 arms as well. And I would point you -- I think it's a
- 20 useful point --
- 21 JUSTICE SOUTER: But wait a minute. You're
- 22 not saying that if somebody goes hunting deer he is
- 23 bearing arms, or are you?
- 24 GENERAL CLEMENT: I would say that and so
- 25 would Madison and so would Jefferson, I would submit.

- 1 They use --
- JUSTICE SOUTER: Somebody going out to -- in
- 3 the eighteenth century, someone going out to hunt a deer
- 4 would have thought of themselves as bearing arms? I
- 5 mean, is that the way they talk?
- 6 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, I will grant you
- 7 this, that "bear arms" in its unmodified form is most
- 8 naturally understood to have a military context. But I
- 9 think the burden of the argument on the other side is to
- 10 make it have an exclusively military context. And as a
- 11 number of the briefs have pointed out, that's not borne
- 12 out by the framing sources.
- In one place, although it's not bearing
- 14 arms, it's bearing a gun, I think it's highly relevant
- 15 that Madison and Jefferson with respect to this hunting
- 16 bill that Jefferson wrote and Madison proposed,
- 17 specifically used in the hunting context the phrase
- 18 "bear a gun," and so I do think in that context --
- 19 JUSTICE SOUTER: But it's "arms" that has
- 20 the kind of the military -- the martial connotation, I
- 21 would have thought.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: Wasn't -- wasn't it the
- 23 case that the banning of arms on the part of the
- 24 Scottish highlanders and of Catholics in England used
- 25 the term, forbade them to "bear arms"? It didn't mean

- 1 that could just not join militias; it meant they
- 2 couldn't carry arms.
- 3 GENERAL CLEMENT: And again, I think various
- 4 phrases were, were used. I also think that some of the
- 5 disarmament provisions specifically used the word
- 6 "keep." And so I think there is some independent
- 7 meaning there, which is one point.
- 8 And then I do think that, even in the
- 9 context of bearing arms, I will grant you that "arms"
- 10 has a military connotation and I think Miller would
- 11 certainly support that, but I don't think it's an
- 12 exclusively military connotation.
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Not only Miller, but the
- 14 Massachusetts declaration. "The right to keep and bear
- 15 arms for the common defense" is what is the normal
- 16 reading of it.
- 17 GENERAL CLEMENT: Oh, absolutely. And I
- 18 grant you if this, if the Second Amendment said "keep
- 19 and bear arms for the common defense" this would be a
- 20 different case. But --
- 21 JUSTICE STEVENS: --- the right to keep and
- 22 bear -- I'm sorry. It's one right to keep and bear, not
- 23 two rights, to keep and to bear.
- 24 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, I mean it's -- it's
- 25 my friends from the District that are emphasizing that

- 1 no word in the Constitution is surplusage. So I would
- 2 say that in a context like this you might want to focus
- 3 both on "keep" and on "bear arms."
- 4 JUSTICE SOUTER: And you want to talk about
- 5 the standard, and your light's on.
- 6 (Laughter.)
- 7 GENERAL CLEMENT: Okay. I would like to
- 8 talk about the standard and my light is indeed on, so
- 9 let me do that.
- 10 I think there are several reasons why a
- 11 standard as we suggest in our brief rather than strict
- 12 scrutiny is an appropriate standard to be applied in
- 13 evaluating these laws. I think first and foremost, as
- 14 our colloguy earlier indicated, there is -- the right to
- 15 bear arms was a preexisting right. The Second Amendment
- 16 talks about "the right to bear arms," not just "a right
- 17 to bear arms." And that preexisting always coexisted
- 18 with reasonable regulations of firearms.
- 19 And as you pointed out, Justice Souter, to
- 20 be sure when you're making the translation from the
- 21 English Bill of Rights you always have to deal with
- 22 parliamentary supremacy. But it is very striking that,
- 23 as Justice Stevens said, the right was conditioned on
- 24 the conditions, which I think meant what class you were,
- 25 and also subject expressly to the Parliament, the laws

- 1 of Parliament.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: The freedom of speech that
- 3 was referred to in the Constitution was also "the"
- 4 freedom of speech, which referred to the pre-existing
- 5 freedom of speech. And there were indeed some
- 6 restrictions on that such as libel that you were not
- 7 allowed to do. And yet we've never held that simply
- 8 because it was pre-existing and that there were some
- 9 regulations upon it, that we would not use strict
- 10 scrutiny. We certainly apply it to freedom of speech,
- 11 don't we?
- 12 GENERAL CLEMENT: Justice Scalia, let me
- 13 make two related points. One, even in the First
- 14 Amendment context, this Court has recognized -- and I
- 15 point you to the Court's opinion in Robertson against
- 16 Baldwin, which makes this point as to both the First and
- 17 the Second Amendment. This Court has recognized that
- 18 there are certain pre-existing exceptions that are so
- 19 well established that you don't really even view them as
- 20 Second Amendment or First Amendment infringement.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Like libel.
- 22 GENERAL CLEMENT: Like libel, and I would
- 23 say like laws barring felons from possessing handguns.
- 24 I don't think --
- 25 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Or would you say like

1	protecting yourself against intruders in the home?
2	GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, that gets to the
3	self-defense component and I don't know that I ever got
4	a chance to fully answer your question on that, Justice
5	Kennedy, which is we would say, notwithstanding the fact
6	that the preamble makes it clear that the preeminent
7	motive was related to ensuring that the militia remained
8	a viable option vis-a-vis the standing army, the
9	operative text is not so limited. And I think in that
LO	regard it's worth emphasizing that the framers knew
L1	exactly how to condition a right on militia service,
L2	because they did it with respect to the grand jury
L3	clause, and they didn't do it with respect to the Second
L4	Amendment.
L5	JUSTICE ALITO: If the amendment is intended
L6	at least, in part to protect the right to self-defense
L7	in the home, how could the District code provision
L8	survive under any standard of review where they totally
L9	ban the possession of the type of weapon that's most
20	commonly used for self-defense, and even as to long guns
21	and shotguns they require, at least what the code says
22	without adding a supposed gloss that might be produced
23	in a subsequent case, that even as to long guns and
24	shotguns they have to be unloaded and disassembled or
25	locked at all times even presumably if someone is

- 1 breaking into the home?
- 2 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, Justice Alito, let
- 3 me answer the question in two parts if I can, because I
- 4 think the analysis of the trigger lock provision may
- 5 well be different than the analysis of the other
- 6 provisions.
- 7 With respect to the trigger lock provision,
- 8 we think that there is a substantial argument that once
- 9 this Court clarifies what the constitutional standard
- 10 is, that there ought to be an opportunity for the
- 11 District of Columbia to urge its construction, which
- 12 would allow for a relatively robust self-defense
- 13 exception to the trigger lock provision. And this Court
- 14 could very well, applying Ashwan to prevent --
- 15 principles allow for that kind of --
- 16 JUSTICE SCALIA: I don't understand that.
- 17 What would that be -- that you can, if you have time,
- 18 when you hear somebody crawling in your -- your bedroom
- 19 window, you can run to your qun, unlock it, load it and
- 20 then fire? Is that going to be the exception?
- 21 GENERAL CLEMENT: If that's going to be the
- 22 exception, it could clearly be inadequate. And I think
- 23 that -- I mean the District of Columbia can speak to
- 24 this, but it seems to me that if, for example, the
- 25 police were executing a warrant at evening and had cause

- 1 for doing it at evening and saw somebody with a loaded
- 2 gun on their night stand, no children present without a
- 3 trigger lock, it seems to me that that would be a good
- 4 test case to decide whether or not their construction
- 5 would provide for an exception to the trigger lock
- 6 provision in that case.
- 7 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Can I interrupt for a
- 8 minute?
- 9 GENERAL CLEMENT: If it did, I think then
- 10 the statute might well be constitutional. If it didn't,
- in my view, it probably wouldn't be.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: There is a lot of talk
- 13 about standards and stop words like strict scrutiny.
- 14 Does it make a practical difference whether we take your
- 15 standard or the strict scrutiny that was in the D.C.
- 16 Circuit's opinion? And specifically there is a whole
- 17 panoply of Federal laws restricting gun possession.
- 18 Would any of them be jeopardized under your standard?
- 19 And the same question with the District scrutiny, does
- 20 it make any difference?
- 21 GENERAL CLEMENT: In our view it makes a
- 22 world of difference, Justice Ginsburg, because we
- 23 certainly take the position, as we have since
- 24 consistently since 2001, that the Federal firearm
- 25 statutes can be defended as constitutional, and that

- 1 would be consistent with this kind of intermediate
- 2 scrutiny standard that we propose. If you apply strict
- 3 scrutiny, I think that the result would be quite
- 4 different, unfortunately.
- 5 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, these various
- 6 phrases under the different standards that are proposed,
- 7 "compelling interest," "significant interest," "narrowly
- 8 tailored, " none of them appear in the Constitution; and
- 9 I wonder why in this case we have to articulate an
- 10 all-encompassing standard. Isn't it enough to determine
- 11 the scope of the existing right that the amendment
- 12 refers to, look at the various regulations that were
- 13 available at the time, including you can't take the gun
- 14 to the marketplace and all that, and determine how
- 15 these -- how this restriction and the scope of this
- 16 right looks in relation to those?
- 17 I'm not sure why we have to articulate some
- 18 very intricate standard. I mean, these standards that
- 19 apply in the First Amendment just kind of developed over
- 20 the years as sort of baggage that the First Amendment
- 21 picked up. But I don't know why when we are starting
- 22 afresh, we would try to articulate a whole standard that
- 23 would apply in every case?
- 24 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, Mr. Chief Justice,
- 25 let me say a couple of things about that, which is to

- 1 say that if this Court were to decide this case and make
- 2 conclusively clear that it really was focused very
- 3 narrowly on this case and it was in some respects
- 4 applying a sui generis test, we think that would be an
- 5 improvement over the court of appeals opinion, which is
- 6 subject to more than one reading, but as Justice
- 7 Ginsburg's question just said, it's certainly
- 8 susceptible to a reading that it embodies strict
- 9 scrutiny. In fact --
- 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Well, it did. It said
- 11 it's just like the First Amendment. First Amendment has
- 12 exceptions, but strict scrutiny applies. It says strict
- 13 scrutiny applies here too.
- 14 GENERAL CLEMENT: I --
- 15 JUSTICE SCALIA: But that opinion also, it
- 16 didn't use the militia prologue to say it's only the
- 17 kind of weapons that would be useful in militia, and
- 18 that are commonly -- commonly held today. Is there any
- 19 Federal exclusion of weapons that applies to weapons
- 20 that are commonly held today? I don't know what you're
- 21 worried about. Machine guns, what else? Armored
- 22 bullets, what else?
- 23 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, Justice Scalia, I
- 24 think our principal concern based on the parts of the
- 25 court of appeals opinion that seemed to adopt a very

- 1 categorical rule were with respect to machine guns,
- 2 because I do think that it is difficult -- I don't want
- 3 to foreclose the possibility of the Government, Federal
- 4 Government making the argument some day -- but I think
- 5 it is more than a little difficult to say that the one
- 6 arm that's not protected by the Second Amendment is that
- 7 which is the standard issue armament for the National
- 8 Guard, and that's what the machine gun is.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: But this law didn't
- 10 involve a restriction on machine guns. It involved an
- 11 absolute ban. It involved an absolute carry
- 12 prohibition. Why would you think that the opinion
- 13 striking down an absolute ban would also apply to a
- 14 narrow one -- narrower one directed solely to machine
- 15 quns?
- 16 GENERAL CLEMENT: I think, Mr. Chief
- 17 Justice, why one might worry about that is one might
- 18 read the language of page 53a of the opinion as
- 19 reproduced in the petition appendix that says once it is
- 20 an arm, then it is not open to the District to ban it.
- Now, it seems to me that the District is not
- 22 strictly a complete ban because it exempts pre-1976
- 23 handguns. The Federal ban on machine guns is not,
- 24 strictly speaking, a ban, because it exempts pre --
- 25 pre-law machine guns, and there is something like

- 1 160,000 of those.
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: But that passage doesn't
- 3 mean once it's an arm in the dictionary definition of
- 4 arms. Once it's an arm in the specialized sense that
- 5 the opinion referred to it, which is -- which is the
- 6 type of a weapon that was used in militia, and it is --
- 7 it is nowadays commonly held.
- 8 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well --
- 9 JUSTICE SCALIA: If you read it that way, I
- 10 don't see why you have a problem.
- 11 GENERAL CLEMENT: Well, I -- I hope that you
- 12 read it that way. But I would also say that I think
- 13 that whatever the definition that the lower court
- 14 opinion employed, I do think it's going to be difficult
- 15 over time to sustain the notion -- I mean, the Court of
- 16 Appeals also talked about lineal descendants. And it
- 17 does seem to me that, you know, just as this Court would
- 18 apply the Fourth Amendment to something like heat
- 19 imagery, I don't see why this Court wouldn't allow the
- 20 Second Amendment to have the same kind of scope, and
- 21 then I do think that reasonably machine guns come within
- 22 the term "arms."
- Now, if this Court wants to say that they
- 24 don't -- I mean -- I mean -- we'd obviously welcome that
- 25 in our -- in our obligation to defend the

- 1 constitutionality of acts of Congress.
- 2 The one other thing I would say is that this
- 3 is an opinion that is susceptible of different readings.
- 4 It's interesting that Respondents' amici have different
- 5 characterizations of it. The Goldwater Institute calls
- 6 it strict scrutiny; the State of Texas calls it
- 7 reasonable -- reasonableness review.
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, General.
- 9 GENERAL CLEMENT: Thank you.
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Mr. Gura.
- 11 ORAL ARGUMENT OF ALAN GURA
- 12 ON BEHALF OF THE RESPONDENTS
- 13 MR. GURA: Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice, and
- 14 may it please the Court:
- 15 All 50 states allow law-abiding citizens to
- 16 defend themselves and their families in their homes with
- 17 ordinary functional firearms including handguns. Now,
- 18 I'd like to respond to one point that was raised lately
- 19 by the General --
- JUSTICE SCALIA: Talk a little slower; I'm
- 21 not following you.
- MR. GURA: Okay. I'd like to respond --
- 23 certainly, Justice Scalia. I'd like to respond to the
- 24 point about the -- the District of Columbia's position
- 25 over the years with respect to the functional firearms

- 1 ban.
- 2 The Petitioners have had two opportunities
- 3 to urge courts to adopt this so-called self-defense
- 4 exception which they construed in the amendment. The
- 5 first opportunity came in 1978 in McIntosh versus
- 6 Washington, where the petitioners urged the Court of
- 7 Appeals of the District of Columbia to uphold the law
- 8 because it was irrational in their view to prohibit
- 9 self-defense in the home with firearms. They deemed it
- 10 to be too dangerous, and this was a legitimate policy
- 11 choice of the City Council, and they actually prevailed
- 12 in that view.
- 13 The second opportunity that the Petitioners
- 14 had to urge this sort of self-defense construction was
- 15 actually in this case in the district court. We had a
- 16 motion for summary judgment and we made certain factual
- 17 allegations in this motion, and on page 70a of the joint
- 18 appendix we see portions of our statement of undisputed
- 19 material facts. Fact number 29, which was conceded by
- 20 the District of Columbia, reads: The defendants
- 21 prohibit the possession of lawfully owned firearms for
- 22 self-defense within the home, even in instances when
- 23 self-defense would be lawful by other means under
- 24 District of Columbia law. The citation for that is a
- 25 functional firearms ban, and that point was conceded.

1	Certainly the idea that people can guess as
2	to when it is that they might render the firearm
3	operational is is not a one that the Court should
4	accept, because a person who hears a noise, a person who
5	perhaps is living in a neighborhood where there has been
6	a spate of violent crimes, has no idea of when the
7	District of Columbia would permit her to render the
8	firearm operational. And, in fact, there is a
9	prosecution history not under this specific provision,
10	but certainly other under gun prohibition laws that
11	we are challenging here today to prosecute people for
12	the possession or for the carrying of a prohibited
13	firearm even when the police ruled the shooting has been
14	lawful self-defense.
15	JUSTICE BREYER: You're saying that this is
16	unreasonable, and that really is my question because I'd
17	like you to assume two things with me, which you
18	probably don't agree with, and I may not agree with
19	them, either.
20	(Laughter.)
21	JUSTICE BREYER: But I just want you to
22	assume them for the purpose of the question. All right.
23	Assume that the that there is an
24	individual right, but the purpose of that right is to
25	maintain a citizen army; call it a militia; that that's

- 1 the basic purpose. So it informs what's reasonable and
- 2 what isn't reasonable.
- 3 Assume -- and this is favorable to you but
- 4 not as favorable as you'd like -- assume that we are
- 5 going to decide whether something is proportionate or
- 6 apply an intermediate standard in light of the purpose.
- 7 All right.
- Now, focus on the handgun ban. As I read
- 9 these 80 briefs -- and they were very good, I mean
- 10 really good and informative on both sides -- and I'm
- 11 trying to boil down the statistics where there is
- 12 disagreement, and roughly what I get -- and don't
- 13 quarrel with this too much; it's very rough -- that
- 14 80,000 to 100,000 people every year in the United States
- 15 are either killed or wounded in gun-related homicides or
- 16 crimes or accidents or suicides, but suicide is more
- 17 questionable. That's why I say 80,000 to 100,000.
- 18 In the District, I guess the number is
- 19 somewhere around 200 to 300 dead; and maybe, if it's
- 20 similar, 1,500 to 2,000 people wounded. All right.
- Now, in light of that, why isn't a ban on
- 22 handguns, while allowing the use of rifles and muskets,
- 23 a reasonable or a proportionate response on behalf of
- 24 the District of Columbia?
- MR. GURA: Because, Your Honor, for the same

- 1 reason it was offered by numerous military officers at
- 2 the highest levels of the U.S. military in all branches
- 3 of service writing in two briefs, they agree with us
- 4 that the handgun ban serves to weaken America's military
- 5 preparedness. Because when people have handguns --
- 6 handguns are military arms, they are not just civilian
- 7 arms -- they are better prepared and able to use them.
- 8 And, certainly, when they join the military forces, they
- 9 are issued handguns.
- 10 And so if we assume that the sort of
- 11 military purpose to the Second Amendment is an
- 12 individual right, then the handgun ban, as noted by our
- 13 military amici, would impede that.
- 14 JUSTICE BREYER: Well, I didn't read -- I
- 15 read the two military briefs as focusing on the nature
- 16 of the right, which was quite a pretty good argument
- 17 there that the nature of the right is to maintain a
- 18 citizen Army.
- 19 And to maintain that potential today, the
- 20 closest we come is to say that there is a right for
- 21 people to understand weapons, to know how to use them,
- 22 to practice with them. And they can do that, you see,
- 23 with their rifles. They can go to gun ranges, I guess,
- 24 in neighboring States.
- 25 But does that make it unreasonable for a

- 1 city with a very high crime rate, assuming that the
- 2 objective is what the military people say, to keep us
- 3 ready for the draft, if necessary, is it unreasonable
- 4 for a city with that high crime rate to say no handguns
- 5 here?
- JUSTICE SCALIA: You want to say yes.
- 7 JUSTICE BREYER: Now, why?
- JUSTICE SCALIA: That's your answer.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Well, you want to say yes,
- 10 that's correct, but I want to hear what the reasoning is
- 11 because there is a big crime problem. I'm simply
- 12 getting you to focus on that.
- 13 MR. GURA: The answer is yes, as Justice
- 14 Scalia noted, and it's unreasonable, and it actually
- 15 fails any standard of review that might be offered under
- 16 such a construction of individual rights because
- 17 proficiency with handguns, as recognized as a matter of
- 18 judicial notice by the First Circuit in Cases back in
- 19 1942 -- that was a handqun case where the First Circuit
- 20 examined the restriction on the carrying of the
- 21 30-caliber revolver. And the First Circuit accepted, as
- 22 a matter of judicial notice, that proficiency in use and
- 23 familiarity with the handgun at issue would be one that
- 24 would further a militia purpose. And so --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Let me ask this question:

- 1 In answering yes, do you attach any significance to the
- 2 reference to the militia in the Second Amendment?
- MR. GURA: Yes, I do, Your Honor.
- 4 JUSTICE STEVENS: You think that is -- to
- 5 understand the amendment, you must pay some attention to
- 6 the militia requirement?
- 7 MR. GURA: Yes, Your Honor, we must --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So a conscientious
- 9 objector who likes to hunt deer for food, you would say,
- 10 has no rights under the Second Amendment. He is not
- 11 going to be part of the militia. He is not going to be
- 12 part of the common defense, but he still wants to bear
- 13 arms. You would say that he doesn't have any rights
- 14 under this amendment?
- 15 MR. GURA: No, Your Honor. I think that the
- 16 militia clause informs the purpose -- informs a purpose.
- 17 It gives us some guidepost as to how we look at the
- 18 Second Amendment, but it's not the exclusive purpose of
- 19 the Second Amendment. Certainly, the Founders cared
- 20 very much about --
- 21 JUSTICE GINSBURG: Is it a limitation? Is
- 22 it any limitation on the legislature? Is the first
- 23 clause any limitation on the legislature?
- 24 MR. GURA: It is a limitation to one extent,
- 25 Your Honor, the extent recognized in Miller where the

- 1 Miller Court asked whether or not a particular type of
- 2 arm that's at issue is one that people may individually
- 3 possess. It looked to the militia clause and,
- 4 therefore, adopted a militia purpose as one of the two
- 5 prongs of Miller.
- And so, certainly, if there were -- if the
- 7 Court were to continue Miller -- and Miller was the only
- 8 guidance that the lower court had, certainly, as to what
- 9 arms are protected or unprotected by the Second
- 10 Amendment. And yet --
- 11 JUSTICE STEVENS: If it limits the kinds of
- 12 arms to be appropriate to a militia, why does it not
- also limit the kind of people who may have arms?
- 14 MR. GURA: It does not eliminate the kind of
- 15 people, Your Honor, because the Second Amendment is the
- 16 right of the people. And it would certainly be an odd
- 17 right that we would have against the Congress, if
- 18 Congress could then redefine people out of that right.
- 19 Congress could tomorrow declare that nobody is in a
- 20 militia, and then nobody would have the right against
- 21 the government.
- JUSTICE GINSBURG: If you were thinking of
- "the people," what those words meant when the Second
- 24 Amendment was adopted, it was males between the ages of
- 25 what -- 17 and 45? People who were over 45 had no --

- 1 they didn't serve in the militia.
- 2 MR. GURA: Well, certainly, there were many
- 3 people who were not eligible for militia duty, or not
- 4 subject to militia service, who nevertheless were
- 5 expected to, and oftentimes did, in fact, have guns.
- 6 JUSTICE SCALIA: Which shows that maybe
- 7 you're being unrealistic in thinking that the second
- 8 clause is not broader than the first. It's not at all
- 9 uncommon for a legislative provision or a constitutional
- 10 provision to go further than is necessary for the
- 11 principal purpose involved.
- 12 The principal purpose here is the militia,
- 13 but the -- but the second clause goes beyond the militia
- 14 and says the right of the people to keep and bear arms.
- Now, you may say the kind of arms is colored
- 16 by the militia. But it speaks of the right of the
- 17 people. So why not acknowledge that it's -- it's
- 18 broader than the first clause?
- 19 MR. GURA: Well, we do acknowledge that,
- 20 Your Honor.
- 21 JUSTICE SOUTER: Then why have the first
- 22 clause? I mean what is it doing -- I mean what help is
- 23 it going to be?
- 24 MR. GURA: Well, it was a way in which to
- 25 remind us -- the Framers certainly felt that a militia

- 1 was very important to the preservation of liberty. The
- 2 Framers had just fought a revolutionary war that relied
- 3 heavily on militia forces, and so they wanted to honor
- 4 that and remind us as to the purpose -- one purpose, not
- 5 the exclusive purpose, but a purpose -- of preserving
- 6 the right --
- 7 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Could it also be simply to
- 8 reaffirm that the provisions in the main text of the
- 9 Constitution remain intact?
- 10 MR. GURA: That's correct, Your Honor. In
- 11 fact, that view was taken by William Rawle in his 1828
- 12 treatise, view of the Constitution. Rawle was, of
- 13 course, a ratifier of the Second Amendment. He sat in
- 14 the Pennsylvania Assembly in 1790. And if you look at
- 15 his description of the Second Amendment, he bifurcates
- 16 it. First, he discusses the militia clause, and he
- 17 lavishes some qualified praise on it. And then --
- 18 JUSTICE KENNEDY: But you were about to tell
- 19 us before the course of the questioning began about the
- 20 other purposes that the amendment served. I'm -- I want
- 21 to know whether or not, in your view, the operative
- 22 clause of the amendment protects, or was designed to
- 23 protect in an earlier time, the settler in the
- 24 wilderness and his right to have a gun against some
- 25 conceivable Federal enactment which would prohibit him

- 1 from having any guns?
- 2 MR. GURA: Oh, yes. Yes, Justice Kennedy.
- 3 The right of the people to keep and bear arms was
- 4 derived from Blackstone. It was derived from the
- 5 common-law English right which the Founders wanted to
- 6 expand.
- 7 In fact, the chapter in which Blackstone
- 8 discusses this in his treatise, his fifth auxiliary
- 9 right to arms, is entitled --
- 10 JUSTICE BREYER: That brings me back to the
- 11 question because Blackstone describes it as a right to
- 12 keep and bear arms "under law." And since he uses the
- words "under law," he clearly foresees reasonable
- 14 regulation of that right. And so does the case not
- 15 hinge on, even given all your views, on whether it is or
- 16 is not a reasonable or slightly tougher standard thing
- 17 to do to ban the handgun, while leaving you free to use
- 18 other weapons?
- 19 I mean, I notice that the militia statute,
- 20 the first one, spoke of people coming to report, in
- 21 1790, or whenever, with their rifles, with their
- 22 muskets, but only the officers were to bring pistols.
- 23 So that, to me, suggests they didn't see pistols as
- 24 crucial even then, let alone now.
- 25 MR. GURA: Well, certainly they saw --

- 1 JUSTICE BREYER: What's your response to the
- 2 question?
- 3 MR. GURA: Well, my response is that the
- 4 government can ban arms that are not appropriate for
- 5 civilian use. There is no question of that.
- 6 JUSTICE KENNEDY: That are not appropriate
- 7 to --
- 8 MR. GURA: That are not appropriate to
- 9 civilian use.
- 10 JUSTICE GINSBURG: For example?
- 11 MR. GURA: For example, I think machine
- 12 guns: It's difficult to imagine a construction of
- 13 Miller, or a construction of the lower court's opinion,
- 14 that would sanction machine guns or the plastic,
- 15 undetectable handguns that the Solicitor General spoke
- 16 of.
- 17 The fact is that this Court's Miller test
- 18 was the only guidance that we had below, and I think it
- 19 was applied faithfully. Once a weapon is, first of all,
- 20 an "arm" under the dictionary definition -- and Webster
- 21 has a very useful one -- then you look to see whether
- 22 it's an arm that is meant to be protected under the
- 23 Second Amendment, and we apply the two-pronged Miller
- 24 test. And usually one would imagine if an arm fails the
- 25 Miller test because it's not appropriate for common

- 1 civilian applications --
- 2 JUSTICE GINSBURG: But why wouldn't the
- 3 machine gun qualify? General Clement told us that's
- 4 standard issue in the military.
- 5 MR. GURA: But it's not an arm of the type
- 6 that people might be expected to possess commonly in
- 7 ordinary use. That's the other aspect of Miller.
- 8 Miller spoke about the militia as encompassing the
- 9 notion that people would bring with them arms of the
- 10 kind in common use supplied by themselves. And --
- 11 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is there any
- 12 parallel --
- 13 JUSTICE GINSBURG: At this time -- I would
- 14 just like to follow up on what you said, because if you
- 15 were right that it was at that time, yes; but that's not
- 16 what Miller says. It says that the gun in question
- 17 there was not one that at this time -- this time, the
- 18 time of the Miller decision -- has a reasonable
- 19 relationship to the preservation or efficiency of a
- 20 well-regulated militia. So it's talking about this
- 21 time.
- 22 MR. GURA: That's correct. The time frame
- 23 that the Court must address is always the present. The
- 24 framers wished to preserve the right to keep and bear
- 25 arms. They wished to preserve the ability of people to

- 1 act as militia, and so there was certainly no plan for,
- 2 say, a technical obsolescence.
- 3 However, the fact is that Miller spoke very
- 4 strongly about the fact that people were expected to
- 5 bring arms supplied by themselves of the kind in common
- 6 use at the time. So if in this time people do not have,
- 7 or are not recognized by any court to have, a common
- 8 application for, say, a machine gun or a rocket launcher
- 9 or some other sort of --
- 10 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is there any
- 11 parallel at the time that the amendment was adopted to
- 12 the machine gun? In other words, I understand your
- 13 point to be that, although that's useful in modern
- 14 military service, it's not something civilians possess.
- 15 Was there anything like that at the time of the
- 16 adoption, or were the civilian arms exactly the same as
- 17 the ones you'd use in the military?
- 18 MR. GURA: At the time that -- even at the
- 19 time Miller was decided, the civilian arms were pretty
- 20 much the sort that were used in the military. However,
- 21 it's hard to imagine how a machine gun could be a
- 22 "lineal descendent," to use the D.C. Circuit's wording,
- of anything that existed back in 1791, if we want to
- 24 look to the framing era. Machine guns --
- 25 JUSTICE KENNEDY: It seems to me that

- 1 Miller, as we're discussing it now, and the whole idea
- 2 that the militia clause has a major effect in
- 3 interpreting the operative clause is both overinclusive
- 4 and underinclusive. I would have to agree with Justice
- 5 Ginsburg that a machine gun is probably more related to
- 6 the militia now than a pistol is. But that -- that
- 7 seems to me to be allowing the militia clause to make no
- 8 sense out of the operative clause in present-day
- 9 circumstances.
- 10 MR. GURA: Your Honor, even within the
- 11 militia understanding, the understanding of the militia
- 12 was always that people would bring whatever they had
- 13 with them in civilian life. So if a machine gun, even
- 14 though it may be a wonderful --
- 15 JUSTICE KENNEDY: My point is: Why is that
- 16 of any real relevance to the situation that faces the
- 17 homeowner today?
- 18 MR. GURA: It's only of relevance if the
- 19 Court wishes to continue reading the militia clause as
- 20 informing the type of weapon which is protected.
- 21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: Well, you're being
- 22 faithful to Miller. I suggest that Miller may be
- 23 deficient.
- MR. GURA: I agree with Your Honor, and
- 25 certainly in our brief we suggest that the militia

- 1 emphasis of Miller is not useful as a limiting principle
- 2 to the type of arms that may be -- that may be
- 3 permitted. Because, on the one hand, there's a great
- 4 deal of weaponry that might be wonderful for military
- 5 duty but is not appropriate for common civilian use,
- 6 which would not be protected even under the Miller
- 7 test's first prong.
- And, on the other hand, everything that
- 9 civilians today might wish to have in ordinary common
- 10 use -- handguns, rifles, and shotguns -- are militarily
- 11 useful weapons.
- 12 So we de-emphasize the military aspects of
- 13 Miller as being ultimately not very useful guidance for
- 14 courts. And the better guidance would be to emphasize
- 15 the commonsense rule that I think judges would have
- 16 really no trouble applying, and we do this all the time
- 17 in constitutional law: To simply make a decision as to
- 18 whether or not whichever arm comes up at issue is an arm
- 19 of the kind that you could really reasonably expect
- 20 civilians to have.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Why -- now, when say "keep"
- 22 and "bear," I mean you are -- I think you're on to
- 23 something here. Because you say let's use our common
- 24 sense and see what would be the equivalent today. Fine.
- 25 If we know that at the time, in 1789,

- 1 Massachusetts had a law that said you cannot keep loaded
- 2 firearms in the house, right, and you have to keep all
- 3 of the bullets and everything and all of the powder
- 4 upstairs, why did they have that law? To stop fires
- 5 because it's dangerous? They didn't have fire
- 6 departments. Now we do -- or they weren't as good.
- 7 We now have police departments, and the
- 8 crime wave might be said similar to what were fires
- 9 then. And, therefore, applying the similar kind of
- 10 thing, you say: Fine, just as you could keep pistols
- 11 loaded but not -- not loaded. You had to keep powder
- 12 upstairs because of the risk of fire. So today,
- 13 roughly, you can say no handguns in the city because of
- 14 the risk of crime.
- 15 Things change. But we give in both
- 16 instances, then and now, leeway to the city and States
- 17 to work out what's reasonable in light of their
- 18 problems. Would that be a way of approaching it?
- MR. GURA: The legislature has a great deal
- 20 of leeway in regulating firearms. There is no dispute
- 21 about that. However, I wouldn't draw a complete analogy
- 22 between the Boston fire ordinances that Your Honor notes
- 23 and the functional firearms ban.
- 24 First, even the Boston firearms ordinances
- 25 did not include handguns actually. At the time the word

- 1 "firearm" was not understood to include pistols.
- 2 General Gage's inventory of weapons seized from the
- 3 Americans in Boston included some 1800 or so firearms
- 4 and then 634 pistols. Nowhere in the Boston code do we
- 5 see a prohibition on keeping loaded pistols in the home.
- 6 And certainly the idea that -- that self-defense is a
- 7 harm is one that is --
- 8 JUSTICE BREYER: Not self-defense being the
- 9 harm. And I agree with you that this, the firearm
- 10 analogy, floats up there, but it isn't going to decide
- 11 this case, the Massachusetts statute. I agree with you
- 12 about that.
- What you've suddenly given me the idea of
- 14 doing, which I'm testing, is to focus not just on what
- 15 the kind of weapon is -- don't just look to see whether
- 16 it's a cannon or a machine gun, but look to see what the
- 17 purpose of this regulation is, and does it make sense in
- 18 terms of having the possibility of people trained in
- 19 firearms?
- 20 Let's look at those military briefs. Let's
- 21 say that the generals have it right, there is some kind
- 22 of right to keep trained in the use of firearms subject
- 23 to regulation. We have regulation worried about crime,
- 24 back to my first question.
- 25 MR. GURA: Well, back to Your Honor's first

- 1 question, we don't agree that the military purpose is
- 2 the exclusive purpose of the Second Amendment. And we
- 3 also don't agree that it could be a reasonable
- 4 regulation or under any standard of review to prohibit
- 5 people from having functional firearms in their own home
- for purposes of self-defense.
- 7 JUSTICE SCALIA: You don't even agree that
- 8 Massachusetts was subject to the Second Amendment.
- 9 MR. GURA: Well, originally it was not. But
- 10 what we've seen with the Fourteenth Amendment, and we've
- 11 seen --
- 12 JUSTICE SCALIA: But the time we're talking
- 13 about, the firearms in the home ordinance, when was
- 14 that?
- 15 MR. GURA: 1783 I believe was the statute.
- 16 JUSTICE STEVENS: How do you explain the
- 17 fact that you include self-defense, but only two States,
- 18 Pennsylvania and Vermont, did refer to self-defense as a
- 19 permissible justification and all of the others referred
- 20 to common defense or defense of the State, and in the
- 21 Articles of Confederation and the Constitution itself
- there is no reference to self-defense?
- MR. GURA: Your Honor, the State courts
- 24 interpreting those provisions that you reference had a
- 25 different interpretation. For example, in 1895

- 1 Massachusetts --
- 2 JUSTICE STEVENS: 1895. I'm talking about
- 3 contemporaneous with the adoption of the Second
- 4 Amendment.
- 5 MR. GURA: Well, at the time we haven't seen
- 6 State court decisions from exactly that era.
- 7 JUSTICE STEVENS: Just the text of the State
- 8 constitutional provisions, two of them refer to
- 9 self-defense. The rest refer only to common defense; is
- 10 that not correct?
- 11 MR. GURA: On their literal text, yes. But
- 12 judges did not interpret them that way, for example in
- 13 North Carolina --
- 14 JUSTICE STEVENS: I understand that judicial
- 15 interpretation sometimes is controlling and sometimes is
- 16 not. But the text itself does draw a distinction, just
- 17 as the Second Amendment does. It doesn't mention
- 18 self-defense.
- 19 MR. GURA: While it might not mention
- 20 self-defense, it was clear that the demands that the
- 21 States made at the ratifying conventions were for an
- 22 individual right, and Madison was interested in --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: Well, if you look at the
- 24 individual rights I suppose you start back in 1689, the
- 25 Declaration of Rights in England. And the seventh

- 1 provision that they talked about said that: "The
- 2 subjects which are protestants may have arms for their
- 3 defense suitable to their conditions and as allowed by
- 4 law." Now do you think the term "suitable to their
- 5 conditions" limited the number of people who had access
- 6 to arms for self-defense?
- 7 MR. GURA: It was in England, but that was
- 8 criticized by the framers. St. George Tucker's edition
- 9 of Blackstone --
- 10 JUSTICE STEVENS: So you think that the
- 11 Second Amendment is a departure from the provision in
- 12 the Declaration of Rights in England?
- 13 MR. GURA: It's quite clearly an expansion
- 14 upon it.
- 15 JUSTICE STEVENS: So that's not really
- 16 your -- you would not confine the right the way the
- 17 English did then.
- 18 MR. GURA: I think the common law of England
- 19 is a quide, and it's always a useful quide because
- 20 that's where the -- where we -- where we look to, to
- 21 interpret --
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: It's useful for such
- 23 purposes as what "keep and bear arms" means and things
- 24 of that sort.
- 25 MR. GURA: It certainly is, Your Honor. And

- 1 it's also useful to see how --
- 2 JUSTICE SCALIA: They certainly didn't want
- 3 to preserve the kind of militia that America had, which
- 4 was a militia separate from the state, separate from the
- 5 government, which enabled the revolt against the
- 6 British.
- 7 MR. GURA: That's correct, Your Honor.
- 8 JUSTICE SOUTER: Is there any -- is there
- 9 any record evidence that the anti-Federalist objections
- 10 to the Constitution that ultimately resulted in the
- 11 Second Amendment were premised on any failure to
- 12 recognize an individual right of self-defense or hunting
- or whatnot, as distinct from being premised on concern
- 14 about the power of the national government and the
- 15 militia clauses in Article 1?
- 16 MR. GURA: Yes, Justice Souter. If we look
- 17 to, for example, the -- the demands of the Pennsylvania
- 18 minority, the anti-Federalists there were extremely
- 19 influential. They couched their demands in unmistakably
- 20 self-defense terms. In fact, they added a provision --
- 21 JUSTICE SOUTER: No, but they didn't -- they
- 22 didn't limit it to self-defense. I mean, what provoked
- 23 it, as I understand it, was concern about the militia
- 24 clauses, and here I mean you're certainly correct. I
- 25 agree with you. Pennsylvania went beyond that. It

- 1 was -- it was one of three States, as I understand, that
- 2 did go beyond it. But the provocation for getting into
- 3 the subject, as I understand it, was, in each instance
- 4 including Pennsylvania, concern over the national
- 5 government's power over militias under Article 1.
- 6 MR. GURA: Justice Souter, we wouldn't see
- 7 the history that way. Certainly there is agreement that
- 8 the militia clauses in the Constitution were
- 9 controversial. And there were separate amendments that
- 10 were proposed and always rejected that would have
- 11 addressed that explicitly. In fact, if we look at
- 12 Virginia's proposals, it's agreed by the Petitioners
- 13 that Virginia was the model for the Bill of Rights and
- 14 specifically, of course, for the Second Amendment.
- 15 We saw one set of proposed amendments from
- 16 Virginia entitled Bill of Rights, and the Second
- 17 Amendment language comes from paragraph 17 of that Bill
- 18 of Rights. And then we see a list of other amendments,
- 19 and then we have the 11th proposed amendment, which
- 20 speaks exactly to the -- reverting control over the
- 21 militia back to the -- back to the States.
- Now, there is no reason to suppose that
- 23 Virginia would have made the same demand twice, that
- they would have, like all the other demands, it had
- 25 separate "keep and bear arms" provisions and separate

- 1 militia provisions, that people were being duplicative
- 2 for no reason. The fact is that the militia concerns
- 3 were heard and they were voted down, and the Second
- 4 Amendment concerns were the ones that the Federalists
- 5 were easily agreeable to because the right to keep and
- 6 bear arms by individuals was not controversial, it would
- 7 not have altered the structure of our Constitution, and
- 8 so those were agreed to quite readily.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why isn't the
- 10 trigger-lock provisions that are at issue here, why
- 11 aren't they similar to the various provisions that
- 12 Justice Breyer mentioned like the gunpowder restriction?
- 13 In other words, for reasons of domestic safety, they
- 14 said you can't store the gunpowder anywhere but on the
- 15 top floor. Why isn't the modern trigger-lock provision
- 16 similar to those?
- MR. GURA: Well, it's not similar because
- 18 the modern trigger-lock provisions are aimed squarely at
- 19 self-defense in the home. There is no risk today that
- 20 the kind of powder we use --
- 21 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, there is
- 22 always a risk that the children will get up and grab the
- 23 firearm and use it for some purpose other than what the
- 24 Second Amendment was designed to protect.
- MR. GURA: Oddly enough, a child can access

- 1 a firearm stored consistently with the District's law,
- 2 that is, a firearm which is disassembled and unloaded,
- 3 nothing would prevent a child --
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, right. But, I
- 5 mean, you don't necessarily expect a young child to be
- 6 able to reassemble the pistol.
- 7 MR. GURA: That's true, Your Honor.
- 8 However, better safe storage approach is the one used by
- 9 the majority of jurisdictions, I believe, that do have
- 10 such laws, which is to require safe storage, for
- 11 example, in a safe. And that is a reasonable
- 12 limitation. It's a strict scrutiny limitation.
- 13 Whatever standard of view we may wish to apply, I think,
- 14 would encompass a safe storage provision.
- 15 But this is not a safe storage provision
- 16 because we have specific exceptions that allow you to
- 17 actually use the firearm in recreational shooting and
- 18 also in a place of business. And we have litigation
- 19 history from Washington, D.C., that tells us that we are
- 20 not supposed to have an operable firearm for purposes of
- 21 self-defense because they simply do not trust people to
- 22 defend themselves in our home. And -- and self-defense
- 23 is the heart of the Second Amendment right. That is
- 24 what Blackstone was getting at when he spoke of the
- 25 fifth auxiliary right to arms, because it protected the

- 1 right of personal preservation.
- 2 JUSTICE STEVENS: You say that the right of
- 3 self-defense was the heart of the Second Amendment, in
- 4 your view. Strangely that some provisions suggested
- 5 that and were not accepted by the authors of the Second
- 6 Amendment.
- 7 MR. GURA: Which provisions were those,
- 8 Justice Stevens?
- 9 JUSTICE STEVENS: Pennsylvania.
- 10 MR. GURA: Well, Pennsylvania's provision
- 11 was certainly influential. Remember, Madison was trying
- 12 to mollify the anti-Federalists' concerns. The Second
- 13 Amendment is clearly addressed to Pennsylvania and New
- 14 Hampshire and New York and all these other States that
- 15 were demanding a right to keep and bear arms, and there
- 16 was always understood to be an individual right because
- 17 that is the way in which the right that was violated by
- 18 the British in the war of revolution that occurred not
- 19 too long ago. And --
- I'm finished.
- 21 JUSTICE BREYER: Thinking of your exchange
- 22 with the Chief Justice and think of the trigger lock in
- 23 your view and what the question was, do you want -- I
- 24 don't know how well trigger locks work or not -- but do
- 25 you want thousands of judges all over the United States

- 1 to be deciding that kind of question rather than the
- 2 city councils and the legislatures that have decided it
- 3 in the context of passing laws? I mean, isn't there an
- 4 issue here and a problem with respect to having courts
- 5 make the kinds of decisions about who is right or not in
- 6 that trigger-lock argument?
- 7 MR. GURA: When a fundamental right is at
- 8 stake, there is a role for judicial review, Your Honor.
- 9 We are not going to see a thousand judges review such
- 10 laws because Washington, D.C.'s is the only example of
- 11 it.
- 12 JUSTICE GINSBURG: If it's a fundamental
- 13 right, what about licensing? One piece -- we've talked
- 14 about trigger locks, we've talked about the ban on
- 15 handguns, but there is also a requirement that there be
- 16 a license for possession of a handgun. Assuming you're
- 17 right on the first question, that you couldn't flatly
- 18 ban handguns, what about a requirement that you obtain a
- 19 license to carry -- to have a handgun?
- 20 MR. GURA: Justice Ginsburg, that would
- 21 depend on the licensing law itself. We don't have a
- 22 problem with the concept of licensing so long as it's
- 23 done --
- 24 JUSTICE GINSBURG: What about this very law?
- 25 If you take out the ban -- there is a law on the books.

- 1 It's one of the ones that you challenged. It's section
- 2 22-4504(a). Wouldn't that be okay -- would that be
- 3 okay? It says that you have to have a license to carry.
- 4 MR. GURA: So long as the licensing law is
- 5 not enforced in an arbitrary and capricious manner, so
- 6 long as there are some hopefully objective standards and
- 7 hopefully some process for --
- 8 JUSTICE GINSBURG: It just says -- it says
- 9 you have to get a license if you want to possess a gun.
- 10 What kind of standard? It just says you have to have a
- 11 license.
- MR. GURA: Well, the government could set
- 13 reasonable standards for that, Your Honor. The
- 14 government could require, for example, knowledge of the
- 15 State's use of force laws. They can require some sort
- 16 of vision test. They could require, perhaps,
- 17 demonstrated competency. And those are the types of
- 18 things that we sometimes see; background checks, of
- 19 course. Those are going to be reasonable licensing
- 20 requirements.
- 21 However, if the license requirement is we
- 22 only wanted to give licenses to people who look a
- 23 certain way or depends on how we feel or if the
- 24 licensing office is only open Thursdays at 3:00 in the
- 25 morning -- I mean, it all depends on the implementation.

- 1 And --
- 2 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: What about -- what
- 3 about age limits -- you've got to be over 18 or you've
- 4 got to be over 21 to get a license?
- 5 MR. GURA: Well, certainly the
- 6 age-of-majority issue is -- is an appropriate one. I
- 7 don't think there is a problem with requiring a majority
- 8 age 18 and then 21 for --
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is the age limit
- 10 necessarily the same nationwide? Maybe 16 in Wyoming
- 11 makes more sense but 21 in the District.
- 12 MR. GURA: Courts would have to examine
- 13 those at some point. The government would have to look
- 14 at the circumstances it confronted and enact, up to some
- 15 point, an age limit. I think it would be very difficult
- 16 to have an age limit that goes beyond 21, because that's
- 17 the majority age for most things in the United States.
- 18 And, in fact, we have the voting rights cases from the
- 19 late '60s where --
- JUSTICE STEVENS: May I ask this question?
- 21 Are you, in effect, reading the amendment to say that
- 22 the right shall not be unreasonably infringed instead of
- 23 shall not be infringed?
- MR. GURA: There is that inherent aspect to
- 25 every right in the Constitution.

- 1 JUSTICE STEVENS: So we can -- consistent
- 2 with your view, we can simply read this: "It shall not
- 3 be unreasonably infringed"?
- 4 MR. GURA: Well, yes, Your Honor, to some
- 5 extent, except the word "unreasonable" is the one that
- 6 troubles us because we don't know what this unreasonable
- 7 standard looks like.
- 8 JUSTICE SCALIA: You wouldn't put it that
- 9 way. You would just say it is not being infringed if
- 10 reasonable limitations are placed upon it.
- 11 MR. GURA: That's another way to look at it,
- 12 Your Honor. Certainly --
- 13 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: -- you would define
- 14 "reasonable" in light of the restrictions that existed
- 15 at the time the amendment was adopted.
- 16 MR. GURA: Those restrictions --
- 17 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: You know, you can't
- 18 take it into the marketplace was one restriction. So
- 19 that would be -- we are talking about lineal descendents
- 20 of the arms but presumably there are lineal descendents
- 21 of the restrictions as well.
- MR. GURA: Framing our practices would
- 23 inform the kind of restrictions that would be accepted.
- 24 But even beyond that, they also inform the contours of
- 25 the right. In the Fifth Circuit, for example, we have

- 1 the Emerson decision now for seven years, and the way
- 2 that that court has examined the Second Amendment when
- 3 they get these felon and possession bans and drug addict
- 4 and possession challenges, what they say is, these
- 5 people simply are outside the right, as historically
- 6 understood in our country. And that's a very important
- 7 aspect to remember, that the Second Amendment is part of
- 8 our common law tradition, and we look to framing our
- 9 practices in traditional understandings of that right to
- 10 see both the reasonableness of the restrictions that are
- 11 available as well as the contours.
- 12 JUSTICE SOUTER: Can we also look to current
- 13 conditions like current crime statistics?
- MR. GURA: To some extent, Your Honor, but
- 15 we have certainly --
- JUSTICE SOUTER: Well, can they consider the
- 17 extent of the murder rate in Washington, D.C., using
- 18 handguns?
- MR. GURA: If we were to consider the extent
- 20 of the murder rate with handguns, the law would not
- 21 survive any type of review, Your Honor.
- 22 JUSTICE SCALIA: All the more reason to
- 23 allow a homeowner to have a handgun.
- MR. GURA: Absolutely, Your Honor.
- JUSTICE BREYER: Whose judgment is that

- 1 to --
- 2 JUSTICE SOUTER: The question is whether
- 3 they may consider those statistics, and I take it your
- 4 answer is yes?
- MR. GURA: Well, those statistics might be
- 6 considered in some way, the fact is that at some point
- 7 there is a role for judicial review. And you can't just
- 8 grab at statistics -- and some of the statistics that
- 9 were used here are very weak, and studies that have been
- 10 rejected by the National Academy of Sciences repeatedly.
- 11 I mean, we don't really have -- it's hard to say that
- 12 those laws --
- 13 JUSTICE SOUTER: But I think -- I don't want
- 14 you to misunderstand my question. My question is that
- 15 by looking to the statistics, I'm not suggesting that
- 16 there is only sort of one reasonable response to them.
- 17 I want to know whether -- whether the policymakers may
- 18 look to them; and I take it your answer is yes?
- MR. GURA: To some degree, yes, policymakers
- 20 have to be informed by what's going on in order to make
- 21 policy. However, there are constitutional limitations
- 22 enforced by courts that are going to limit those
- 23 policies. And when you have a ban which bans 40 percent
- of all weapons that are the type of weapons used by
- 25 civilians, 80 percent of all self-defense occurs with

- 1 handguns; when you have that kind of ban, functional
- 2 firearms ban, these are extreme measures --
- JUSTICE SOUTER: They may be. I just want
- 4 to make sure you're not making the argument that because
- 5 there was not a comparable homicide rate, or for that
- 6 matter, a comparable need for self-defense from handgun
- 7 use in 1792, that there -- 1790 -- that therefore, the
- 8 statistics of today may not be considered? You're not
- 9 making that argument?
- 10 MR. GURA: No, Your Honor, the fact is that
- 11 we can always debate these things, but the object of the
- 12 Bill of Rights is to remove certain judgments from the
- 13 legislature, because we can make policy arguments,
- 14 normative arguments about many provisions of the
- 15 Constitution. But to make those arguments and say,
- 16 well, we've decided as a matter of policy that the right
- 17 to keep and bear arms is no longer a good idea and,
- 18 therefore, we are going to have restrictions that
- 19 violate that stricture in the Bill of Rights, that
- 20 shouldn't pass judicial review. At some point you have
- 21 to go to Article 5 if you think that the Constitution is
- 22 impractical.
- JUSTICE KENNEDY: But Just to be clear --
- 24 and I don't want to misstate your position, but my
- 25 understanding, I at least inferred that you would

	1	consider	it	reasonable	to	ban	shipment	οf	machine	qu
--	---	----------	----	------------	----	-----	----------	----	---------	----

- 2 and sawed-off shotguns in interstate commerce?
- 3 MR. GURA: Yes, Your Honor.
- 4 JUSTICE STEVENS: And how about a State
- 5 university wants to ban students having arms in the
- 6 dormitory?
- 7 MR. GURA: Certainly that creates some sort
- 8 of an evidentiary record. Conceivably that --
- 9 JUSTICE STEVENS: That's the bare fact.
- 10 That's what -- a State regulation prohibits students
- 11 from having arms on campus.
- MR. GURA: We would have to do --
- 13 JUSTICE STEVENS: You'd have to think about
- 14 that.
- 15 MR. GURA: -- some fact finding. It's
- 16 something that might be doable, but again, that's so far
- 17 from what we have here. We have here a ban on all guns,
- 18 for all people, in all homes, at all times in the
- 19 Nation's capital. That questionably is too broad and
- 20 too sweeping under any level of review.
- 21 Thank you, Your Honor.
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you, Gura.
- Mr. Dellinger, 10 minutes.
- 24 REBUTTAL ARGUMENT OF WALTER DELLINGER,
- 25 ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONERS

- 1 MR. DELLINGER: Mr. Chief Justice, I want to
- 2 address first why this law is reasonable and should be
- 3 sustained, and why the judgement below has to be
- 4 reversed, however, whatever position you take on the
- 5 theories of the amendment. And in defending the eminent
- 6 reasonableness and careful balance of this law, I need
- 7 to start with the trigger law, about which Justice Alito
- 8 asked.
- 9 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, before you
- 10 start with it, how many minutes does it take to remove a
- 11 trigger lock and load a gun? Because both the gun has
- 12 to be unloaded; it has to have a trigger lock under the
- 13 District laws.
- MR. DELLINGER: Those are alternatives, Mr.
- 15 Chief Justice.
- 16 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: No, disassembled --
- 17 MR. DELLINGER: Just a trigger lock.
- 18 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: In either case it
- 19 has to be unloaded, correct?
- 20 MR. DELLINGER: There are some versions of
- 21 the trigger lock that allow you to put the trigger lock
- 22 on and then load the gun. But the piece that goes in
- 23 the trigger mechanism, even someone as clumsy as I could
- 24 remove it and effect it --
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, the law, as I

- 1 understand it, says that the gun has to be unloaded. So
- 2 under your hypothetical, I assume that would violate the
- 3 District's law if the gun is still loaded.
- 4 MR. DELLINGER: You know, it's a question of
- 5 where you put the parenthesis. I read that as
- 6 disassembled and unloaded or under a trigger lock, and
- 7 that's the, that's the way the District --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So how long does it
- 9 take? If your interpretation is correct, how long does
- 10 it take to remove the trigger lock and make the gun
- 11 operable.
- 12 MR. DELLINGER: You -- you place a trigger
- 13 lock on and it has -- the version I have, a few -- you
- 14 can buy them at 17th Street Hardware -- has a code, like
- 15 a three-digit code. You turn to the code and you pull
- 16 it apart. That's all it takes. Even -- it took me 3
- 17 seconds.
- 18 JUSTICE SCALIA: You turn on, you turn on
- 19 the lamp next to your bed so you can -- you can turn the
- 20 knob at 3-22-95, and so somebody --
- MR. DELLINGER: Well --
- 22 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Is it like that? Is
- 23 it a numerical code?
- MR. DELLINGER: Yes, you can have one with a
- 25 numerical code.

- 1 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: So then you turn on
- 2 the lamp, you pick up your reading glasses --
- 3 (Laughter.)
- 4 MR. DELLINGER: Let me tell you. That's
- 5 right. Let me tell you why at the end of the day this
- 6 doesn't -- this doesn't matter, for two reasons. The
- 7 lesson --
- 8 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It may not matter,
- 9 but I'd like some idea about how long it takes.
- 10 MR. DELLINGER: It took me 3 seconds. I'm
- 11 not kidding. It's -- it's not that difficult to do it.
- 12 That was in daylight.
- 13 The other version is just a loop that goes
- 14 through the chamber with a simple key. You have the key
- 15 and put it together. Now, of course if you're going --
- 16 if you want to have your weapon loaded and assembled,
- 17 that's a different matter.
- 18 But here's where I want to address the
- 19 trigger lock. Here's why it doesn't matter for the
- 20 handgun law. The District believes that what is
- 21 important here is the ban on handguns. And it also
- 22 believes that you're entitled to have a functional,
- 23 usable weapon for self-defense in the home, and that's
- 24 why this is a very proportionate law.
- 25 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Well, if

- 1 proportionate, in other words you're saying your
- 2 interest is allowing self-defense in the home --
- 3 MR. DELLINGER: Yes.
- 4 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Does it really make
- 5 sense to say the best self-defense arm is a rifle, as
- 6 opposed to a pistol?
- 7 MR. DELLINGER: It is -- there has been no
- 8 showing here that a rifle or a shotgun is inadequate for
- 9 the purposes of self-defense in this facial challenge.
- 10 JUSTICE ALITO: Is there anything to show
- 11 that the District Council ever considered the issue of
- 12 self-defense? That -- because they banned handguns and
- 13 they had this provision on the trigger lock which -- and
- 14 the issue -- my question with the trigger lock doesn't
- 15 have to do with whether trigger locks are generally a
- 16 good idea. It's whether you're ever allowed to take it
- 17 off for purposes of defense. There's no -- is there
- 18 anything to show that the -- that the council actually
- 19 considered what sort of weapon is appropriate for
- 20 self-defense?
- 21 MR. DELLINGER: There are decisions in the
- 22 District of Columbia about the right of self-defense
- 23 that apply to this. But here's the most important
- 24 point. It cannot affect the validity of the handgun
- 25 law. If you disagree with us that my statements are not

- 1 sufficient to say that we believe that the law should be
- 2 read, given the self-defense compulsion, to allow
- 3 whatever use makes it functional, if you don't agree
- 4 with that and if you think there's a controversy on this
- 5 point, because we believe you should have a functional
- 6 firearm available in the home of law-abiding citizens
- 7 who wish one, if we are wrong about that and the trigger
- 8 lock is invalid, that has no effect on the handgun ban.
- 9 That is to say, the trigger lock applies to
- 10 all weapons. If it's valid and it means what they say
- 11 it does, none of the weapons would work. We don't need
- 12 a handgun; it's unusable. If it's invalid or if it has
- 13 the construction we believe, it cannot possibly affect
- 14 the handgun law. If you strike down the trigger lock
- 15 law, you're throwing us in the briar patch where we
- 16 think it's where we're happy to be if all we have to do
- is to make clear in the trigger lock law what we have
- 18 said here today, that it's, it's available for
- 19 self-defense.
- 20 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: It's a related
- 21 point. Do you understand the ban -- the carry ban to
- 22 apply if you carry the firearm from one room in the
- 23 house to another?
- MR. DELLINGER: That only applies if it's --
- 25 if it's unregistered. Now, you can't register a

- 1 handgun, you can't carry a handgun, but that's because
- 2 its both -- its possession is prohibited. That is to
- 3 say you can't carry marijuana or heroin from one room to
- 4 the other either, because you can't use it at all, I
- 5 think.
- 6 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why is the -- why is
- 7 the D.C. law phrased in those terms? In other words, if
- 8 you can't have a handgun at all, why do you have a
- 9 separate provision saying that you can't carry it
- 10 anywhere?
- MR. DELLINGER: Well, it's -- it's -- the
- 12 carry provision, you cannot carry unregistered firearms.
- 13 That's just a general requirement, that firearms be
- 14 registered. You're not allowed to register handguns is
- 15 the mechanism by which they are prohibited.
- 16 Now, here is -- to address your question
- 17 about why a ban is unreasonable, the one thing we know
- 18 the Second Amendment is not about is it's not about the
- 19 interest of collectors. Some people collect guns the
- 20 way they do stamps, and if that were what the amendment
- 21 were about then prohibiting someone from having a
- 22 particular type of gun would prevent them from
- 23 completing the set. But the notion --
- 24 CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Why isn't that
- 25 covered by the provision that you have the right to keep

- 1 arms?
- 2 MR. DELLINGER: Well, the word "keep" would
- 3 encompass -- "keep" can encompass every use of an arm,
- 4 and that's why it provides no limit at all, unless you
- 5 read it in combination with "keep and bear" and that in
- 6 combination with "well-regulated militia."
- JUSTICE SCALIA: You mean you can't have any
- 8 more arms than you would need to take with you to the
- 9 militia? You can't have a -- you can't have a -- you
- 10 know, a turkey gun and a duck gun and a 30.06 and a 270
- 11 and -- you know, different -- different hunting guns for
- 12 different --
- MR. DELLINGER: Well --
- 14 JUSTICE SCALIA: You can't do that? I mean
- 15 a State could say you don't --
- 16 MR. DELLINGER: Of course you could do that.
- JUSTICE SCALIA: You can have to have a 12
- 18 gauge and that's it.
- 19 MR. DELLINGER: And like the District that
- 20 allows that, as every State does. There are --
- 21 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I -- at least to me the
- 22 question is, what would be the constitutional basis for
- 23 insisting on Justice Scalia's suggestion that you need a
- 24 number of guns? You have argued, it seems to me, that
- 25 the District or a government could prohibit just what he

- 1 said, unless you needed one to take to the militia.
- 2 MR. DELLINGER: I do not know why that would
- 3 pass the reasonableness scrutiny, but this law would
- 4 because a powerful, overwhelming case could be made that
- 5 you're eliminating the one type of weapon -- this law is
- 6 -- is designed only for the weapon that is concealable
- 7 and movable, that can be taken into schools and onto the
- 8 Metro, can be easily stolen and transmitted among --
- 9 JUSTICE KENNEDY: I'm asking about the
- 10 constitutional standard you apply to a hypothetical
- 11 statute which would prohibit the guns Justice Scalia
- 12 described. What is your position as to the validity of
- 13 such a hypothetical law?
- MR. DELLINGER: You would apply this
- 15 standard. You would ask whether the ban is one that's
- 16 carefully balanced considerations of gun ownership and
- 17 public safety. I don't see how, once we are in the land
- 18 where you -- where there is a right, there is a far
- 19 weaker case if there is any need for public safety to --
- 20 to limit the number of guns one has. Here there is an
- 21 overwhelming case and we are talking about local
- 22 legislation.
- I know, Justice Kennedy, that you would be
- 24 concerned about a national government which sets a
- 25 single standard for rural and urban areas, for East and

- 1 West, North and South. Here you have legislation that
- 2 is adopted by a group of citizens in the District,
- 3 operating under the authority of Congress, but it is
- 4 local legislation. And if it's still good law, that
- 5 States and local governments across the country can
- 6 strike these balances, as they have, it would be deeply
- 7 ironic to preclude the District of Columbia as being the
- 8 only place that could enact legislation free of the
- 9 strictures of the Second Amendment.
- 10 And when you ask about the statistics, what
- 11 is critical here is not to apply the kind of categorical
- 12 standard the court below did or a kind of strict
- 13 scrutiny that would strike this law down. This is an
- 14 area, unlike areas where government regulation is
- 15 presumptively illegitimate, this text contemplates
- 16 regulation of inherently dangerous weapons. And where
- 17 the battle -- the great battle over methodology, to
- 18 which Justice Breyer replied, in these briefs --
- 19 indicates that this is the kind of right -- where you
- 20 have disputes among experts, it's a kind of right where
- 21 even if you recognize it, deference needs to be given to
- the legislative resolution rather than have courts try
- 23 to decide how best to resolve the statistical and
- 24 methodological debates.
- Thank you, Mr. Chief Justice.

1	CHIEF JUSTICE ROBERTS: Thank you,
2	Mr. Dellinger.
3	The case is submitted.
4	(Whereupon, at 11:43 a.m., the case in th
5	above-entitled matter was submitted.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

]	<u> </u>	l	
A	18:14 55:4,24	allowing 22:1	82:5 87:18,20	39:12 59:19
ability 60:25	61:11 77:15	25:12 51:22	90:9	applies 9:8
able 23:25 52:7	90:2	62:7 85:2	amendments	30:13 45:12,13
72:6	adoption 15:19	allows 21:19	15:21 32:22	45:19 86:9,24
abolish 11:17	61:16 67:3	88:20	70:9,15,18	apply 23:24 24:7
above-entitled	advance 26:1	all-encompass	amendment's	26:25 40:10
1:12 91:5	advanced 5:18	44:10	4:9 14:8	44:2,19,23
abridging 16:1	affect 85:24	altered 71:7	America 69:3	46:13 47:18
abruptly 30:22	86:13	alternatives	Americans 65:3	51:6 59:23
absolute 46:11	afresh 44:22	82:14	America's 52:4	72:13 85:23
46:11,13	age 76:3,8,9,15	ambiguous 4:9	amici 48:4 52:13	86:22 89:10,14
absolutely 29:18	76:16,17	amending 5:14	amicus 1:20 2:7	90:11
31:21 38:17	ages 55:24	amendment	28:2	applying 18:6
78:24	age-of-majority	3:11,18,20,23	analogous 29:4	42:14 45:4
absolutist 20:15	76:6	4:13 5:6,16,25	analogy 64:21	63:16 64:9
Academy 79:10	ago 35:24 73:19	6:10,16 7:4	65:10	appointed 13:9
accept 50:4	agree 30:20	8:18 9:17 10:5	analysis 42:4,5	appoints 31:20
accepted 53:21	50:18,18 52:3	10:14,15,23	analyze 30:1	approach 72:8
73:5 77:23	62:4,24 65:9	11:14,19 12:1	31:4	approaching
access 68:5	65:11 66:1,3,7	12:10 13:14	answer 17:2	64:18
71:25	69:25 86:3	14:19 15:19	24:19 36:13	appropriate
accident 33:25	agreeable 71:5	16:17 20:18	41:4 42:3 53:8	35:5 39:12
accidents 51:16	agreed 70:12	25:9,23 26:11	53:13 79:4,18	55:12 59:4,6,8
accurate 23:7	71:8	27:5,21 28:5	answering 54:1	59:25 63:5
achieve 10:23	agreement 70:7	28:15 29:24	ANTHONY 1:7	76:6 85:19
acknowledge	aimed 27:10	30:3,10,13	anti-Federalist	approve 17:12
56:17,19	71:18	31:23 32:1,2,4	69:9	arbitrary 75:5
act 61:1	AL 1:4	32:25 33:1	anti-Federalists	area 23:5 27:19
actions 15:18	ALAN 1:21 2:8	35:2 38:18	69:18 73:12	90:14
acts 48:1	48:11	39:15 40:14,17	anyway 30:23	areas 89:25
actual 33:12	Alexandria 1:21	40:20,20 41:14	apart 83:16	90:14
add 33:1,12	Alito 10:17,21	41:15 44:11,19	appeals 45:5,25	argued 88:24
added 69:20	11:2 24:9 31:9	44:20 45:11,11	47:16 49:7	arguendo 20:8
addict 78:3	41:15 42:2	46:6 47:18,20	appear 35:14	argument 1:13
adding 41:22	82:7 85:10	49:4 52:11	44:8	2:2,10 3:4,7
addition 6:1	allegations	54:2,5,10,14	APPEARAN	10:14,17 11:24
additional 34:23	49:17	54:18,19 55:10	1:15	14:7 19:14
address 16:19	allow 19:1 24:4	55:15,24 57:13	appears 17:12	24:22 27:25
60:23 82:2	42:12,15 47:19	57:15,20,22	35:19	30:4,5 35:3
84:18 87:16	48:15 72:16	59:23 61:11	appendix 46:19	37:9 42:8 46:4
addressed 70:11	78:23 82:21	66:2,8,10 67:4	49:18	48:11 52:16
73:13	86:2	67:17 68:11	application	74:6 80:4,9
adjustment	allowed 9:8	69:11 70:14,17	21:17 30:16	81:24
34:11	17:18 18:15	70:19 71:4,24	61:8	arguments
adopt 22:22	23:7,22,23	72:23 73:3,6	applications	80:13,14,15
45:25 49:3	27:1 40:7 68:3	73:13 76:21	60:1	arm 3:15 8:1
adopted 15:8,12	85:16 87:14	77:15 78:2,7	applied 20:12	13:7,16 14:2
1			l	l

	1		1	1
14:16 46:6,20	Articles 66:21	B	35:13 36:6,10	37:16 39:21
47:3,4 55:2	articulate 44:9	back 21:7,25	36:12,18 37:7	70:13,16,17
59:20,22,24	44:17,22	23:19 34:1	37:18,25 38:14	80:12,19
60:5 63:18,18	ascribes 31:24	35:21 53:18	38:19,22,22,23	bills 32:6
85:5 88:3	Ashwan 42:14	58:10 61:23	39:3,15,16,17	Blackstone 8:22
armament 46:7	aside 7:3 8:12	65:24,25 67:24	54:12 56:14	8:23,25 9:3,9
armed 4:4 11:9	asked 21:11	70:21,21	58:3,12 60:24	17:12 58:4,7
30:7	55:1 82:8	background	63:22 68:23	58:11 68:9
arming 14:1	asking 89:9	75:18	70:25 71:6	72:24
Armored 45:21	aspect 12:1 33:7	baggage 44:20	73:15 80:17	Blackstonian
armor-piercing	60:7 76:24	balance 21:18	88:5	9:6 14:15
21:5 22:1,8	78:7	82:6	bearing 3:24 4:6	boil 51:11
arms 3:19,21,22	aspects 16:4	balanced 20:13	4:9 35:25	books 18:25
3:24 4:3,6,9,16	63:12	89:16	36:23 37:4,13	19:8 74:25
6:2,21,23 7:20	assemble 6:9	balances 90:6	37:14 38:9	borne 37:11
8:3,10,10,20	assembled 84:16	Baldwin 40:16	bears 8:16	borrowed 33:22
12:20 13:22	Assembly 57:14	ban 18:18,20,20	beautifully 7:19	35:12
17:25 18:2	assume 7:15,22	19:16 20:19	bed 83:19	Boston 64:22,24
20:17 28:22	20:7 25:13,13	23:9,11,15,20	bedroom 42:18	65:3,4
29:1,1,16,17	27:18 50:17,22	24:6 25:16,18	began 57:19	branches 52:2
29:23 30:2,17	50:23 51:3,4	41:19 46:11,13	behalf 1:16,19	breaking 42:1
32:23 33:24	52:10 83:2	46:20,22,23,24	1:21 2:4,6,9,12	Breyer 21:7
34:25 35:13,25	assuming 53:1	49:1,25 51:8	3:8 28:1 48:12	25:6,7 50:15
36:11,19,23	74:16	51:21 52:4,12	51:23 81:25	50:21 52:14
37:4,7,14,19	assumption	58:17 59:4 [°]	believe 4:17 5:3	53:7,9 58:10
37:23,25 38:2	25:16	64:23 74:14,18	20:8 22:12	59:1 63:21
38:9,9,15,19	assure 11:9	74:25 79:23	66:15 72:9	65:8 71:12
39:3,15,16,17	assuring 7:11	80:1,2 81:1,5	86:1,5,13	73:21 78:25
47:4,22 52:6,7	attach 54:1	81:17 84:21	believes 84:20	90:18
54:13 55:9,12	attempt 30:12	86:8,21,21	84:22	briar 86:15
55:13 56:14,15	attention 54:5	87:17 89:15	best 4:4 14:12	brief 5:18 6:5
58:3,9,12 59:4	attributes 10:8	banned 19:15	85:5 90:23	19:14 39:11
60:9,25 61:5	authorities	85:12	better 9:25	62:25
61:16,19 63:2	29:12 31:12	banning 37:23	11:24 14:7	briefs 37:11
68:2,6,23	authority 11:3	bans 78:3 79:23	29:2 52:7	51:9 52:3,15
70:25 71:6	13:25 31:12,16	bare 81:9	63:14 72:8	65:20 90:18
72:25 73:15	31:17 34:15,17	barring 40:23	beyond 18:6	bring 58:22 60:9
77:20 80:17	90:3	based 45:24	56:13 69:25	61:5 62:12
81:5,11 88:1,8	authors 73:5	basic 51:1	70:2 76:16	brings 58:10
army 13:1 31:8	auxiliary 58:8	basis 88:22	77:24	British 7:13
41:8 50:25	72:25	battle 90:17,17	bifurcates 57:15	69:6 73:18
52:18	available 26:15	bear 3:19,21 4:3	big 53:11	broad 81:19
Article 3:12	44:13 78:11	6:2,21 7:20 8:3	bill 16:18,20	broader 56:8,18
5:24,24 11:18	86:6,18	8:10,10,20	17:4,14 33:17	buildings 23:3
12:17 31:10	aware 33:11	13:22 17:25	33:21,22 34:2	builds 9:9
32:2,5 69:15	a.m 1:14 3:2	28:22 29:1,16	34:9,13,20	bullet 22:21
70:5 80:21	91:4	32:23 33:24	35:6,11,19	bullets 21:5 22:1

				ı
22:9 45:22	37:24	73:22 76:2,9	55:3 56:8,13	colloquy 39:14
64:3	cause 42:25	77:13,17 81:22	56:18,22 57:16	colored 56:15
burden 37:9	caused 13:11	82:1,9,15,16	57:22 62:2,3,7	Columbia 1:3
buses 23:3	14:2	82:18,25 83:8	62:8,19	3:4 9:11 24:13
business 72:18	century 37:3	83:22 84:1,8	clauses 5:19,23	25:18 31:24
buy 83:14	certain 12:17	84:25 85:4	6:13,24 7:19	42:11,23 49:7
	20:25 40:18	86:20 87:6,24	10:25 11:19	49:20,24 50:7
C	49:16 75:23	90:25 91:1	12:24 31:10,11	51:24 85:22
C 2:1 3:1	80:12	child 71:25 72:3	32:7 69:15,24	90:7
call 50:25	certainly 4:12	72:5	70:8	Columbia's
called 11:22	31:25 38:11	children 43:2	clear 10:4 11:16	48:24
14:13	40:10 43:23	71:22	12:1 19:3 24:3	combination
calls 48:5,6	45:7 48:23	choice 49:11	29:19 33:4	88:5,6
campus 81:11	50:1,10 52:8	Circuit 53:18,19	35:17 41:6	come 21:24
cannon 65:16	54:19 55:6,8	53:21 77:25	45:2 67:20	26:24 47:21
capital 81:19	55:16 56:2,25	Circuit's 43:16	80:23 86:17	52:20
capricious 75:5	58:25 61:1	61:22	clearly 27:3	comes 63:18
cared 54:19	62:25 65:6	circumstances	32:19,21 42:22	70:17
careful 82:6	68:25 69:2,24	11:23 62:9	58:13 68:13	coming 58:20
carefully 20:13	70:7 73:11	76:14	73:13	comment 6:2
89:16	76:5 77:12	citation 49:24	Clement 1:18	commentator
Carolina 67:13	78:15 81:7	citizen 50:25	2:5 27:24,25	9:1
carry 16:14 19:5	challenge 14:24	52:18	28:3,13,23	commerce 81:2
19:19 23:23,23	26:14 85:9	citizenry 35:20	29:2,18 30:15	common 5:8
24:1 38:2	challenged 9:24	citizens 21:19	31:3,18,21	6:24 9:5,6
46:11 74:19	75:1	48:15 86:6	32:15,20 33:6	16:22 22:3,6
75:3 86:21,22	challenges 78:4	90:2	33:9,19 34:7	22:17,18 24:8
87:1,3,9,12,12	challenging	city 49:11 53:1,4	34:18 35:1	32:24 33:13
carrying 23:16	50:11	64:13,16 74:2	36:14,24 37:6	38:15,19 54:12
50:12 53:20	chamber 84:14	city's 24:17	38:3,17,24	59:25 60:10
case 3:4 12:5	chance 41:4	civic 16:22	39:7 40:12,22	61:5,7 63:5,9
19:18 25:24	change 64:15	civilian 52:6	41:2 42:2,21	63:23 66:20
26:23,25 37:23	chapter 58:7	59:5,9 60:1	43:9,21 44:24	67:9 68:18
38:20 41:23	characterizati	61:16,19 62:13	45:14,23 46:16	78:8
43:4,6 44:9,23	48:5	63:5	47:8,11 48:9	commonly
45:1,3 49:15	checks 75:18	civilians 61:14	60:3	41:20 45:18,18
53:19 58:14	Chief 3:3,9 4:11	63:9,20 79:25	closely 6:25	45:20 47:7
65:11 82:18	4:17,23 9:12	clarifies 42:9	28:24	60:6
89:4,19,21	9:16 12:6,16	class 39:24	closest 52:20	commonsense
91:3,4	18:17,24 19:11	clause 4:1,2,10	clumsy 82:23	63:15
cases 53:18	23:6,22 25:2	5:20,22 6:11	code 24:11,14	common-law
76:18	25:15 27:22	6:18 7:10,23	41:17,21 65:4	58:5
categorical 46:1	28:3 44:5,24	8:5,5,5,9 12:7	83:14,15,15,23	comparable
90:11	46:9,16 48:8	12:7,17,22,24	83:25	34:21 80:5,6
categorically	48:10,13 54:8	23:24 28:12,20	coexisted 39:17	compelled 4:6
21:6	60:11 61:10	31:22 32:3	collect 87:19	compelling 44:7
Catholics 17:24	71:9,21 72:4	41:13 54:16,23	collectors 87:19	competency
				_ ,

	<u> </u>	 I	 I	I
75:17	55:17,18,19	constitutionali	85:11,18	critical 90:11
complete 46:22	90:3	9:6	councils 74:2	criticized 68:8
64:21	congressional	constitutions	count 6:15	crown 17:5
completing	8:19	15:8 32:10	counted 34:19	crucial 58:24
87:23	connection 3:22	construction	country 4:5	curiae 1:20 2:7
component 41:3	12:3 16:8	42:11 43:4	22:15 78:6	28:2
compulsion 86:2	connotation	49:14 53:16	90:5	current 78:12
conceal 16:14	29:9,11 37:20	59:12,13 86:13	couple 44:25	78:13
concealable	38:10,12	construed 49:4	course 23:18	cut 4:24
23:2 89:6	conscientious	contemplates	32:24 57:13,19	
concealed 23:17	54:8	90:15	70:14 75:19	<u> </u>
conceded 49:19	consider 3:18	contemporane	84:15 88:16	D 1:18 2:5 3:1
49:25	18:10 78:16,19	15:18 32:9	court 1:1,13	27:25
concedes 9:13	79:3 81:1	67:3	3:10 5:9,17 7:1	danger 23:4
conceivable	considerations	context 8:11	7:3 9:2,18,24	dangerous
57:25	20:13 89:16	37:8,10,17,18	14:11 15:5	18:15,22 21:5
Conceivably	considered	38:9 39:2	19:20 20:14,16	22:25 27:2,21
81:8	18:22 23:10,13	40:14 74:3	22:19 23:8,13	49:10 64:5
concept 74:22	79:6 80:8	continuation 7:6	27:6,7 28:4	90:16
concern 3:12	85:11,19	continue 30:18	35:17 40:14,17	day 46:4 84:5
8:14 12:8 31:6	considering	55:7 62:19	42:9,13 45:1,5	daylight 84:12
45:24 69:13,23	35:9	contours 77:24	45:25 47:13,15	dead 51:19
70:4	consistent 21:21	78:11	47:17,19,23	deal 31:12 39:21
concerned 11:15	29:16 30:21	contradiction	48:14 49:6,15	63:4 64:19
30:25 89:24	35:23 44:1	7:9	50:3 55:1,7,8	dealing 6:14
concerns 5:12	77:1	contrary 10:9	60:23 61:7	debate 7:3 12:23
71:2,4 73:12	consistently	control 11:5	62:19 67:6	32:25 80:11
conclusively	5:20 43:24	27:21 34:3	78:2 90:12	debates 3:20
45:2	72:1	70:20	courts 29:3 49:3	4:20 6:16,17
condition 41:11	Constitution	controlling	63:14 66:23	8:19,19 90:24
conditioned	3:13 5:14 6:7	67:15	74:4 76:12	decide 9:18
39:23	9:1 12:13	controversial	79:22 90:22	26:22 43:4
conditions 17:18	13:12 28:5	70:9 71:6	court's 28:16	45:1 51:5
34:23 39:24	31:9 39:1 40:3	controversy	40:15 59:13,17	65:10 90:23
68:3,5 78:13	44:8 57:9,12	86:4	covered 22:22	decided 61:19 74:2 80:16
Confederation	66:21 69:10	conventions	87:25	decides 7:24
66:21	70:8 71:7	67:21	crawling 42:18	14:17
confine 68:16	76:25 80:15,21	core 27:5,10	create 13:1 16:6	deciding 74:1
confirms 4:10	constitutional	correct 10:19,20	created 16:2	decision 28:16
conforms 5:19	15:12 18:11	15:3 17:16,21	27:15	60:18 63:17
confronted 23:9	21:12,15 42:9	19:17 20:11	creates 81:7	78:1
76:14	43:10,25 56:9	36:4 53:10	crime 53:1,4,11	decisions 67:6
Congress 3:13	63:17 67:8	57:10 60:22	64:8,14 65:23	74:5 85:21
3:23 10:24	79:21 88:22	67:10 69:7,24	78:13	declaration
13:7,10,15 15:25 17:10	89:10 constitutionali	82:19 83:9 couched 69:19	crimes 50:6 51:16	38:14 67:25
27:15 48:1	48:1	council 49:11	criminal 24:21	68:12
21.13 40.1	+0.1	COUNCII 47.11	CHIIIIII 24.21	00.12
	I	l ————————————————————————————————————	l ————————————————————————————————————	I

	1	I		ı
deemed 49:9	23:19 24:2,17	destroyed 7:16	disassembled	doubts 27:7
deeply 90:6	25:3,21 26:5	7:18	41:24 72:2	draft 4:1 53:3
deer 36:9,22	26:10 27:23	detectors 29:22	82:16 83:6	draw 64:21
37:3 54:9	32:11 81:23,24	determine 44:10	disasters 9:20	67:16
defeated 33:2	82:1,14,17,20	44:14	disband 11:10	drug 78:3
defend 8:14	83:4,12,21,24	determined	discipline 13:8,9	duck 88:10
47:25 48:16	84:4,10 85:3,7	20:17	13:16	due 9:7
72:22	85:21 86:24	determining	discourse 8:17	duplicative 71:1
defendants	87:11 88:2,13	28:18	19:4	duty 16:22 56:3
49:20	88:16,19 89:2	developed 44:19	discusses 57:16	63:5
defended 43:25	89:14 91:2	devoted 8:25	58:8	D.C 1:9,16,19
defending 82:5	demand 70:23	13:4	discussing 62:1	43:15 61:22
defense 5:8	demanding	de-emphasize	displaced 27:11	72:19 74:10
16:22 20:10	73:15	63:12	dispute 9:16	78:17 87:7
24:15,24 26:16	demands 67:20	DICK 1:7	24:18 64:20	
31:2 32:24	69:17,19 70:24	dictionary 47:3	disputes 90:20	E
33:13 38:15,19	demonstrated	59:20	disruption 16:7	E 2:1 3:1,1
54:12 66:20,20	75:17	difference 19:3	distinct 69:13	earlier 39:14
67:9 68:3	densely 23:4	34:13 43:14,20	distinction	57:23
85:17	Department	43:22	35:24 67:16	easily 16:10 71:5
deference 90:21	1:19	different 6:14	distinguish	89:8
deficient 62:23	departments	14:5 15:21,22	21:11	East 89:25
define 28:22,24	64:6,7	15:24 18:3	distinguished	edition 68:8
77:13	departure 68:11	26:12,23 38:20	29:4	effect 5:19,24
defines 5:6	depend 28:7	42:5 44:4,6	district 1:3 3:4	10:6 14:24
definition 47:3	74:21	48:3,4 66:25	5:17 9:10	18:10 36:5
47:13 59:20	depends 75:23	84:17 88:11,11	20:12,19 21:19	62:2 76:21
degree 79:19	75:25	88:12	23:11 24:3,13	82:24 86:8
delinks 5:19	derived 58:4,4	differently 29:5	25:17 26:17	effectiveness 7:7
6:13	descendants	differing 5:16	31:24 38:25	efficiency 12:4
Dellinger 1:16	22:21 47:16	difficult 30:5	41:17 42:11,23	60:19
2:3,11 3:6,7,9	descendent	46:2,5 47:14	43:19 46:20,21	effort 29:8
4:12,17 5:3,13	61:22	59:12 76:15	48:24 49:7,15	eighteenth 37:3
6:3,12 7:1,22	descendents	84:11	49:20,24 50:7	either 13:22
8:7,9,17 9:3,16	77:19,20	direct 3:11	51:18,24 76:11	50:19 51:15
10:1,2,7,12,20	describe 30:24	directed 46:14	82:13 83:7	82:18 87:4
11:1,12,16	described 25:20	direction 32:19	84:20 85:11,22	elements 35:6
12:14,23 13:17	89:12	32:23	88:19,25 90:2	eligibility 28:7
13:24 15:3,5,7	describes 9:4	disagree 85:25	90:7	eligible 56:3
15:10,15,20	58:11	disagreement	District's 72:1	eliminate 55:14
16:19 17:1,8	description	51:12	83:3	eliminated
17:11,16,20	21:25 57:15	disarm 3:15	doable 81:16	20:14
18:3,19 19:2	designed 7:23	14:3,24 29:13	doing 43:1 56:22	eliminating 89:5 elimination
19:17,23 20:2	12:10 29:13,21	disarmament	65:14	19:21
20:6 21:2,8,14	57:22 71:24	38:5	domestic 71:13	embarrassment
21:17,24 22:5	89:6	disarmed 31:6	dormitory 81:6	29:7
22:11,16,19	despite 30:11	disarming 10:18	doubt 24:4 34:4	47.1

	l	l	I	
embodies 45:8	ESQ 1:16,18,21	37:10 38:12	49:19 50:8	64:12,22
Emerson 78:1	2:3,5,8,11	executing 42:25	56:5 57:11	firearm 21:23
eminent 82:5	establish 5:13	exempting 4:1	58:7 59:17	43:24 50:2,8
emphasis 35:23	established 5:11	exempts 46:22	61:3,4 66:17	50:13 65:1,9
35:24 63:1	6:1 40:19	46:24	69:20 70:11	71:23 72:1,2
emphasize	ET 1:4	exercise 36:17	71:2 76:18	72:17,20 86:6
63:14	evade 29:22	exigencies 36:2	79:6 80:10	86:22
emphasizing	evaluating	existed 61:23	81:9,15	firearms 21:20
38:25 41:10	39:13	77:14	facto 32:7	39:18 48:17,25
employed 47:14	evening 42:25	existence 5:22	factors 26:25	49:9,21,25
enabled 69:5	43:1	7:11	facts 49:19	64:2,20,23,24
enact 76:14 90:8	event 26:2	existing 44:11	factual 49:16	65:3,19,22
enactment	events 9:19	expand 12:11	fails 53:15 59:24	66:5,13 80:2
57:25	everybody 9:21	58:6	failure 69:11	87:12,13
encapsulates	25:25	expansion 68:13	faithful 62:22	fires 64:4,8
32:5	evidence 69:9	expansive 16:17	faithfully 59:19	first 3:18,23 4:9
encompass	evidentiary 81:8	18:8,8	familiarity	5:20 7:11 9:1
72:14 88:3,3	ex 32:7	expect 63:19	53:23	13:1 25:23
encompassing	exactly 3:24	72:5	families 48:16	39:13 40:13,16
60:8	12:15 33:1	expected 56:5	family 8:15	40:20 44:19,20
ends 30:22	34:18 41:11	60:6 61:4	far 10:10,12	45:11,11 49:5
enforced 75:5	61:16 67:6	experience	11:14 81:16	53:18,19,21
79:22	70:20	33:16	89:18	54:22 56:8,18
England 37:24	examine 76:12	experts 90:20	Farmer 4:21	56:21 57:16
67:25 68:7,12	examined 53:20	explain 66:16	favorable 51:3,4	58:20 59:19
68:18	78:2	explicitly 70:11	Federal 5:9 9:20	63:7 64:24
English 16:13	example 19:7	express 34:14	9:22 10:9 11:8	65:24,25 74:17
16:18,20 17:4	28:17,17 29:20	expressions	11:10,17,22	82:2
33:17,22 34:2	36:17 42:24	33:16	13:5,25 14:24	five 26:25
34:9,13,20	59:10,11 66:25	expressly 14:19	29:22 30:1,12	flatly 74:17
35:6,11 39:21	67:12 69:17	18:12 39:25	31:11,12 43:17	floats 65:10
58:5 68:17	72:11 74:10	extended 18:6	43:24 45:19	floor 33:10,12
ensure 7:6 14:13	75:14 77:25	extent 13:20	46:3,23 57:25	71:15
ensured 12:20	exception 24:21	15:7 19:16	Federalist 4:20	focus 14:2 39:2
ensuring 41:7	42:13,20,22	54:24,25 77:5	Federalists 71:4	51:8 53:12
entire 35:20	43:5 49:4	78:14,17,19	feel 75:23	65:14
entitled 21:22	exceptions	extraneous 6:6,7	felon 78:3	focused 45:2
58:9 70:16	40:18 45:12	extraordinary	felons 40:23	focusing 52:15
84:22	72:16	27:17	felt 56:25	follow 60:14
entity 26:18	excess 22:12	extreme 80:2	fifth 58:8 72:25	followed 12:14
equated 3:24	exchange 73:21	extremely 69:18	77:25	following 48:21
equivalent	exclusion 45:19		fight 23:19	follow-on 21:10
63:24	exclusive 29:10	F	finding 81:15	food 54:9
era 61:24 67:6	54:18 57:5	faces 62:16	fine 18:11 63:24	forbade 17:24
especially 18:22	66:2	facial 26:14 85:9	64:10	37:25
18:22 30:17	exclusively	fact 19:9 22:24	finished 73:20	force 75:15
31:8	27:11 29:9	41:5 45:9	fire 42:20 64:5	forces 7:7 30:7

	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
52:8 57:3	53:24 56:10	given 28:20 30:6	70:5	23:11 25:12
foreclose 46:3		35:15 58:15	grab 71:22 79:8	29:21,21 30:5
foremost 30:24	G	65:13 86:2	grand 41:12	30:25 41:20,23
31:5 39:13	G 3:1	90:21	grant 37:6 38:9	45:21 46:1,10
foresees 58:13	Gage's 65:2	gives 25:9,11	38:18	46:15,23,25
form 25:12 37:7	gauge 88:18	54:17	granted 13:5	47:21 56:5
forms 36:12	GEN 1:18 2:5	glasses 84:2	great 63:3 64:19	58:1 59:12,14
fought 57:2	27:25	gloss 41:22	90:17	61:24 81:1,17
found 34:19	general 1:18	go 7:19 21:7	grizzlies 8:16	87:19 88:11,24
Founders 54:19	13:21 27:24	35:21 52:23	group 17:19	89:11,20
58:5	28:3,13,23	56:10 70:2	90:2	gun-related
Fourteenth	29:2,18 30:15	80:21	guarantee 7:10	51:15
66:10	31:3,18,21	goes 19:12,13	9:2,4 17:5,10	Gura 1:21 2:8
Fourth 47:18	32:15,20 33:6	24:22 32:22	36:6	48:10,11,13,22
frame 60:22	33:9,19 34:7	36:22 56:13	guaranteeing	51:25 53:13
framers 5:13	34:18 35:1	76:16 82:22	12:12	54:3,7,15,24
7:15 8:25	36:14,24 37:6	84:13	guarantees	55:14 56:2,19
10:22 30:25	38:3,17,24	going 15:22	20:23 28:6	56:24 57:10
31:5 41:10	39:7 40:12,22	24:25 27:18	Guard 46:8	58:2,25 59:3,8
56:25 57:2	41:2 42:2,21	30:18 34:1	guess 50:1 51:18	59:11 60:5,22
60:24 68:8	43:9,21 44:24	37:2,3 42:20	52:23	61:18 62:10,18
framing 37:12	45:14,23 46:16	42:21 47:14	guidance 55:8	62:24 64:19
61:24 77:22	47:8,11 48:8,9	51:5 54:11,11	59:18 63:13,14	65:25 66:9,15
78:8	48:19 59:15	56:23 65:10	guide 68:19,19	66:23 67:5,11
free 4:5 6:20	60:3 65:2	74:9 75:19	guided 32:8	67:19 68:7,13
20:20 27:20	87:13	79:20,22 80:18	33:16	68:18,25 69:7
32:6 58:17	generally 15:21	84:15	guidepost 54:17	69:16 70:6
90:8	85:15	Goldwater 48:5	gun 16:14 20:13	71:17,25 72:7
freedom 40:2,4	generals 65:21	good 3:9 43:3	24:5,7,14	73:7,10 74:7
40:5,10	generis 45:4	51:9,10 52:16	25:25 26:21	74:20 75:4,12
friends 38:25	George 68:8	64:6 80:17	37:14,18 42:19	76:5,12,24
fully 19:19	getting 18:11	85:16 90:4	43:2,17 44:13	77:4,11,16,22
25:15 26:13,15	53:12 70:2	government	46:8 50:10	78:14,19,24
41:4	72:24	9:20 11:8,10	52:23 57:24	79:5,19 80:10
function 11:14	Ginsburg 10:1,3	11:17 12:25	60:3,16 61:8	81:3,7,12,15
15:1	10:7,10 20:21	13:6,25 20:10	61:12,21 62:5	81:22
functional 48:17	21:10,11,16	23:3 27:15,17	62:13 65:16	
48:25 49:25	29:14,19 34:1	27:20 30:12	75:9 82:11,11	<u>H</u>
64:23 66:5	34:7 43:7,12	33:24 46:3,4	82:22 83:1,3	Hampshire
80:1 84:22	43:22 45:10	55:21 59:4	83:10 87:22	73:14
86:3,5	54:21 55:22	69:5,14 75:12	88:10,10 89:16	hand 63:3,8
functioning	59:10 60:2,13	75:14 76:13	gunpowder	handgun 12:3
21:20,22 24:5	62:5 74:12,20	88:25 89:24	71:12,14	23:1 51:8 52:4
functions 15:1	74:24 75:8	90:14	guns 14:12 19:5	52:12 53:19,23
fundamental	Ginsburg's 45:7	governments	19:9,13 20:1	58:17 74:16,19
16:6 74:7,12	give 29:19 64:15	16:10 90:5	20:25 21:1,4	78:23 80:6
further 36:9	75:22	government's	22:1,8,11,25	84:20 85:24
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

	Ī		İ	i
86:8,12,14	20:24 21:20	illegitimate	9:14,24 14:11	interested 67:22
87:1,1,8	23:25 24:10,16	90:15	14:17,21,23	interesting 48:4
handguns 18:21	36:16 41:1,17	imagery 47:19	18:12 19:5	interests 27:2
19:16,16,19,25	42:1 49:9,22	imagine 59:12	25:11 28:6	30:24
20:3,17 22:25	65:5 66:5,13	59:24 61:21	32:6,14 33:4	interfere 13:25
25:17 26:19	71:19 72:22	imbue 29:8	35:10 36:1	interfered 9:23
40:23 46:23	84:23 85:2	impede 52:13	50:24 52:12	interferes 5:10
48:17 51:22	86:6	implementation	53:16 67:22,24	intermediate
52:5,6,9 53:4	homeowner	75:25	69:12 73:16	44:1 51:6
53:17 59:15	62:17 78:23	implicit 12:13	individually	interpret 67:12
63:10 64:13,25	homes 6:10	importance 5:22	55:2	68:21
74:15,18 78:18	48:16 81:18	important 5:14	individuals	interpretation
78:20 80:1	homicide 80:5	5:23 8:23,23	26:18 29:13	5:16 6:13
84:21 85:12	homicides 51:15	12:19 27:1,3	71:6	21:16 66:25
87:14	honestly 32:12	57:1 78:6	inferred 80:25	67:15 83:9
happened 33:11	honor 51:25	84:21 85:23	influenced	interpreted 7:5
happening 14:4	54:3,7,15,25	impossible	30:18 32:11	29:3
happy 86:16	55:15 56:20	14:25	influential 69:19	interpreting
hard 21:3 61:21	57:3,10 62:10	impractical	73:11	28:15 62:3
79:11	62:24 64:22	80:22	inform 77:23,24	66:24
Hardware 83:14	66:23 68:25	improved 22:21	informative	interprets 24:4
harm 65:7,9	69:7 72:7 74:8	improvement	51:10	interrupt 25:5
hear 3:3 42:18	75:13 77:4,12	45:5	informed 79:20	43:7
53:10	78:14,21,24	inadequate	informing 62:20	interstate 81:2
heard 71:3	80:10 81:3,21	42:22 85:8	informs 51:1	intricate 44:18
hears 50:4	Honor's 65:25	include 23:17	54:16,16	introduced 3:23
heart 72:23 73:3	hope 47:11	64:25 65:1	infringed 4:3	21:21
heat 47:18	hopefully 75:6,7	66:17	7:21 76:22,23	introductory
heavily 57:3	hostile 8:15	included 4:24	77:3,9	28:12
held 7:2 40:7	house 33:10	35:12 65:3	infringement	intruders 41:1
45:18,20 47:7	64:2 86:23	includes 5:1,4	40:20	invalid 86:8,12
Heller 1:7 3:5	hundred 22:12	including 33:17	inherent 8:24	inventory 65:2
14:8	hunt 36:9,18	44:13 48:17	76:24	invocable 5:9
Heller's 12:2	37:3 54:9	70:4	inherently 90:16	invoke 9:18
help 56:22	hunting 36:22	inclusion 4:1	inquiry 15:9,11	involve 46:10
heroin 87:3	37:15,17 69:12	incorporate	insisting 88:23	involved 46:10
high 53:1,4	88:11	27:19	instance 70:3	46:11 56:11
highest 52:2	hypothetical	independent	instances 49:22	ironic 90:7
highlanders	83:2 89:10,13	36:5 38:6	64:16	irrational 49:8
17:23 37:24		Indian 8:15	Institute 48:5	issue 3:16 9:15
highly 15:10	I	indicated 5:21	insufficient	11:20 19:12
35:9 37:14	idea 50:1,6 62:1	5:23 39:14	30:23	21:23 46:7
hinge 58:15	65:6,13 80:17	indicates 28:6	intact 57:9	53:23 55:2
historically 78:5	84:9 85:16	90:19	intended 41:15	60:4 63:18
history 50:9	ideal 14:18	indication 26:17	interest 16:6	71:10 74:4
70:7 72:19	ides 13:4	32:21	27:4 44:7,7	76:6 85:11,14
home 19:6 20:10	II 5:24	individual 3:17	85:2 87:19	issued 52:9

			l	
J	28:25 29:11,14	84:8,25 85:4	killed 51:15	launcher 61:8
James 31:25	29:18 30:9,15	85:10 86:20	kind 9:9 12:3	lavishes 57:17
Jefferson 36:25	30:20 31:3,9	87:6,24 88:7	15:22 16:5,9	law 7:17 9:5,6,9
37:15,16	31:16,20 32:8	88:14,17,21,23	18:3,21 22:3	9:17,22,23
jeopardized	32:13,18 33:3	89:9,11,23	22:22 23:21	10:9 14:24
43:18	33:6,8,15,19	90:18,25 91:1	24:6 26:1	15:25 17:18
join 38:1 52:8	34:1,7,12,19	Justice's 25:15	29:20 30:22	18:24 19:8
joining 18:1	34:22 35:21	justification	37:20 42:15	23:8,10,12,12
joint 49:17	36:15,21 37:2	66:19	44:1,19 45:17	23:14,16 24:21
Joseph 8:25	37:19,22 38:13		47:20 55:13,14	29:22 30:1,18
judgement 82:3	38:21 39:4,19	K	56:15 60:10	33:17 34:14
judges 63:15	39:23 40:2,12	keep 3:19 4:3,16	61:5 63:19	46:9 49:7,24
67:12 73:25	40:21,25 41:4	6:21 7:20 8:10	64:9 65:15,21	58:12,13 63:17
74:9	41:15 42:2,16	8:20 17:25	69:3 71:20	64:1,4 68:4,18
judgment 49:16	43:7,12,22	28:21,25 29:6	74:1 75:10	72:1 74:21,24
78:25	44:5,24 45:6	29:11,15,16	77:23 80:1	74:25 75:4
judgments	45:10,15,23	32:23 33:24	90:11,12,19,20	78:8,20 82:2,6
80:12	46:9,17 47:2,9	35:13,25 36:5	kinds 17:12	82:7,25 83:3
judicial 53:18	48:8,10,13,20	36:7,10,11,15	20:25 25:22	84:20,24 85:25
53:22 67:14	48:23 50:15,21	38:6,14,18,21	55:11 74:5	86:1,14,15,17
74:8 79:7	52:14 53:6,7,8	38:22,23 39:3	knew 7:15 41:10	87:7 89:3,5,13
80:20	53:9,13,25	53:2 56:14	knob 83:20	90:4,13
jurisdictions	54:4,8,21	58:3,12 60:24	know 3:25 10:11	lawful 24:12
72:9	55:11,22 56:6	63:21 64:1,2	10:12 22:7,7	49:23 50:14
jury 41:12	56:21 57:7,18	64:10,11 65:22	22:21 32:20	lawfully 49:21
Justice 1:19 3:3	58:2,10 59:1,6	68:23 70:25	36:13 41:3	laws 24:4 27:12
3:10 4:11,17	59:10 60:2,11	71:5 73:15	44:21 45:20	39:13,25 40:23
4:23 5:12 6:4	60:13 61:10,25	80:17 87:25	47:17 52:21	43:17 50:10
6:12,23 7:8 8:4	62:4,15,21	88:2,3,5	57:21 63:25	72:10 74:3,10
8:8,13,22 9:12	63:21 65:8	keeping 4:8	73:24 77:6,17	75:15 79:12
9:17 10:1,3,7	66:7,12,16	35:24 65:5	79:17 83:4	82:13
10:10,17,21	67:2,7,14,23	Kennedy 5:12	87:17 88:10,11	law-abiding
11:2,6,13 12:6	68:10,15,22	6:4,12,23 8:4,8	89:2,23	21:19 48:15
12:16 13:13,18	69:2,8,16,21	8:13 13:13,18	knowledge	86:6
14:22 15:4,6	70:6 71:9,12	16:12,24 19:22	75:14	leave 7:3
15:13,17 16:12	71:21 72:4	19:24 20:4		leaving 58:17
16:24 17:3,9	73:2,8,9,21,22	21:9 25:5 30:9	L	leeway 20:21
17:14,17,22	74:12,20,24	30:15,20 31:3	lamp 83:19 84:2	64:16,20
18:17,24 19:11	75:8 76:2,9,20	33:15,19 40:25	land 89:17	left 8:12 11:20
19:22,24 20:4	77:1,8,13,17	41:5 57:7,18	language 4:8	legislation 10:6
20:21 21:7,9	78:12,16,22,25	58:2 59:6	15:24 46:18	17:23 27:11,13
21:10,11,16,24	79:2,13 80:3	61:25 62:15,21	70:17	27:14 89:22
22:6,14,18	80:23 81:4,9	80:23 88:21	large 34:24	90:1,4,8
23:6,16,22	81:13,22 82:1	89:9,23	late 76:19	legislative 34:6
24:9 25:2,5,6,7	82:7,9,15,16	kept 12:20 14:16	lately 48:18	34:17 56:9
26:3,7 27:22	82:18,25 83:8	key 8:2 84:14,14	Laughter 39:6	90:22
28:3,9,13,19	83:18,22 84:1	kidding 84:11	50:20 84:3	legislature
20.0,7,10,17				_

	1	1	1	1
54:22,23 64:19	list 70:18	lot 22:8 23:1	84:17,19 91:5	60:4 61:14,17
80:13	listed 12:21	43:12	matters 6:15	61:20 63:4,12
legislatures 74:2	literal 67:11	lower 47:13 55:8	McIntosh 49:5	65:20 66:1
legitimate 49:10	literally 6:19	59:13	mean 7:25 9:24	militia 3:22 4:4
lesson 84:7	litigant 9:18		10:16 26:8,8,8	4:19,21,22,24
let's 21:24 63:23	litigation 72:18	M	29:15,19 33:14	5:11,22 6:1,11
65:20,20	little 46:5 48:20	machine 21:1,4	33:20 37:5,25	6:20 7:11,12
level 81:20	living 30:11 50:5	22:1,8,11,25	38:24 42:23	7:12,20,23,24
levels 52:2	load 24:23 42:19	23:11 24:7	44:18 47:3,15	8:6 9:21 10:8,9
libel 40:6,21,22	82:11,22	30:5 45:21	47:24,24 51:9	10:19,25,25
libertarian	loaded 43:1 64:1	46:1,8,10,14	56:22,22 58:19	11:3,9,15,17
14:18 26:13	64:11,11 65:5	46:23,25 47:21	63:22 69:22,24	11:20,25 12:4
liberty 14:15	83:3 84:16	59:11,14 60:3	72:5 74:3	12:7,7,16,18
16:6 18:9 57:1	loading 24:11	61:8,12,21,24	75:25 79:11	12:21,23,24
license 14:21	local 16:10	62:5,13 65:16	88:7,14	13:2,4,8,16,22
24:3 74:16,19	27:13,14,14	81:1	meaning 28:11	14:1,6,8,13,25
75:3,9,11,21	89:21 90:4,5	Madison 3:22	29:23 31:24	14:25 15:24
76:4	lock 42:4,7,13	11:4 31:25	38:7	16:9 20:9
licenses 75:22	43:3,5 73:22	33:15 36:25	means 6:6 11:16	25:10,13,24
licensing 74:13	82:11,12,17,21	37:15,16 67:22	26:3,9 29:14	26:1,18,20,22
74:21,22 75:4	82:21 83:6,10	73:11	49:23 68:23	27:6 28:8,12
75:19,24	83:13 84:19	main 9:13 57:8	86:10	30:8 31:6,10
life 62:13	85:13,14 86:8	maintain 11:5	meant 19:25	31:13,14 36:8
light 7:5 39:8	86:9,14,17	50:25 52:17,19	38:1 39:24	41:7,11 45:16
51:6,21 64:17	locked 41:25	maintained 31:7	55:23 59:22	45:17 47:6
77:14	locks 73:24	major 62:2	measures 80:2	50:25 53:24
light's 39:5	74:14 85:15	majority 72:9	mechanism	54:2,6,11,16
likes 54:9	long 11:8 41:20	76:7,17	82:23 87:15	55:3,4,12,20
limit 17:7 19:4	41:23 73:19	making 39:20	member 9:1	56:1,3,4,12,13
55:13 69:22	74:22 75:4,6	46:4 80:4,9	mention 67:17	56:16,25 57:3
76:9,15,16	83:8,9 84:9	males 55:24	67:19	57:16 58:19
79:22 88:4	longer 13:15	managed 7:13	mentioned	60:8,20 61:1
89:20	80:17	manner 75:5	71:12	62:2,6,7,11,11
limitation 34:3	look 22:23 26:25	March 1:10	metal 29:22	62:19,25 69:3
34:23 54:21,22	30:19 36:17	marijuana 87:3	methodological	69:4,15,23
54:23,24 72:12	44:12 54:17	marketplace	90:24	70:8,21 71:1,2
72:12	57:14 59:21	44:14 77:18	methodology	88:6,9 89:1
limitations	61:24 65:15,16	martial 37:20	90:17	militias 3:15
77:10 79:21	65:20 67:23	Massachusetts	Metro 89:8	4:14,16 7:15
limited 4:14	68:20 69:16	38:14 64:1	militarily 63:10	7:17,18 10:5
17:19 27:6	70:11 75:22	65:11 66:8	military 3:25	11:11 14:20
36:2 41:9 68:5	76:13 77:11	67:1	4:7 7:7 8:11,20	15:1 18:1 38:1
limiting 63:1	78:8,12 79:18	massively 26:9	22:20 29:9,10	70:5
limits 55:11 76:3	looked 29:5 55:3	material 49:19	36:2 37:8,10	militia-based
lineal 22:21	looking 79:15	matter 1:12	37:20 38:10,12	18:7
47:16 61:22	looks 44:16 77:7	16:20 53:17,22	52:1,2,4,6,8,11	militia-related
77:19,20	loop 84:13	80:6,16 84:6,8	52:13,15 53:2	4:10 8:2

	I	I	I	I
Miller 5:17 7:2	national 3:13	notes 64:22	oftentimes 56:5	64:22,24
7:3,4,25 8:1	11:5 12:25	notice 53:18,22	Oh 28:23 33:9	ordinary 48:17
28:16 29:16	27:11,16 46:7	58:19	38:17 58:2	60:7 63:9
30:17,20,22	69:14 70:4	notion 23:20	okay 15:4 39:7	organization
38:10,13 54:25	79:10 89:24	24:23 26:23	48:22 75:2,3	31:13
55:1,5,7,7	nationwide	47:15 60:9	omitted 28:11	organize 3:15
59:13,17,23,25	76:10	87:23	once 7:22 16:7	13:7,16 26:22
60:7,8,16,18	Nation's 81:19	notwithstandi	20:16 23:1	organized 10:18
61:3,19 62:1	natural 9:20	41:5	42:8 46:19	26:22
62:22,22 63:1	naturally 37:8	nowadays 47:7	47:3,4 59:19	originally 66:9
63:6,13	nature 3:16 5:7	nub 15:2	89:17	ought 18:5,10
million 22:16	52:15,17	number 19:13	ones 32:16 33:23	42:10
mind 33:7 36:1	near 24:20	22:11 29:3	61:17 71:4	outlaws 8:15
minds 30:25	necessarily 7:12	34:24 37:11	75:1	outside 78:5
31:6	26:21 36:2	49:19 51:18	open 11:21	overinclusive
minority 69:18	72:5 76:10	68:5 88:24	20:18 46:20	14:9 62:3
minute 25:8	necessary 6:20	89:20	75:24	overwhelming
36:21 43:8	7:25 20:4 53:3	numerical 83:23	operable 72:20	89:4,21
minutes 81:23	56:10	83:25	83:11	owned 49:21
82:10	need 7:19 24:24	numerous 52:1	operating 90:3	ownership
missing 26:20	36:18 80:6		operational 50:3	20:13 89:16
misstate 80:24	82:6 86:11	0	50:8	
misunderstand	88:8,23 89:19	O 2:1 3:1	operative 4:13	P
79:14	needed 31:1	object 80:11	8:5 29:8 41:9	P 3:1
model 70:13	89:1	objections 69:9	57:21 62:3,8	page 2:2 46:18
modern 61:13	needs 26:18	objective 27:8	opinion 21:3,4	49:17
71:15,18	27:14 90:21	53:2 75:6	21:25 22:2	panoply 43:17
mollify 73:12	negative 13:11	objector 54:9	23:7,8 30:22	paragraph
moment 20:8	neighborhood	obligation 47:25	40:15 43:16	70:17
35:24	50:5	obsolescence	45:5,15,25	parallel 35:11
morning 3:9,16	neighboring	61:2	46:12,18 47:5	35:14 60:12
75:25	52:24	obtain 74:18	47:14 48:3	61:11
motion 49:16,17	never 40:7	obvious 7:5	59:13	parenthesis 83:5
motive 41:7	nevertheless	31:14	opportunities	Parliament 17:6
movable 23:2	56:4	obviously 29:6	49:2	17:11 34:4,21
89:7	new 3:13 10:15	32:17,22 34:9	opportunity	39:25 40:1
murder 78:17	12:25 73:13,14	47:24	36:18 42:10	parliamentary
78:20	newspapers	occurred 73:18	49:5,13	34:15,17 39:22
muskets 51:22	19:1	occurs 79:25	opposed 85:6	part 5:5 8:18
58:22	night 43:2	odd 4:12 55:16	option 31:7 41:8	16:21 18:8
	noise 50:4	Oddly 71:25	oral 1:12 2:2 3:7	25:17 35:25
N	normal 38:15	offered 52:1	27:25 48:11	37:23 41:16
N 2:1,1 3:1	normative 80:14	53:15	order 25:23	54:11,12 78:7
narrow 46:14	North 67:13	office 23:3 75:24	27:16 36:17	participate 5:8
narrower 46:14	90:1	officers 13:9	79:20	participating
narrowly 44:7	noted 7:2 52:12	31:20 52:1	ordinance 66:13	16:22
45:3	53:14	58:22	ordinances	particular 11:22

	1	•	1	1
23:4 24:6 55:1	87:19	37:13 72:18	19:8 20:24	premised 69:11
87:22	people's 7:17	83:12 90:8	55:3 60:6	69:13
particularly	percent 79:23	placed 77:10	61:14 75:9	prepared 52:7
5:18 26:14	79:25	plain 15:24	possessing 40:23	preparedness
27:2	perfectly 7:14	plan 61:1	possession 7:25	52:5
parts 42:3 45:24	perform 15:1	plastic 29:21	18:18,20,21,25	present 43:2
pass 15:25 80:20	periphery 27:9	59:14	19:1 36:16	60:23
89:3	permissible	plausible 7:14	41:19 43:17	present-day
passage 47:2	66:19	play 28:18	49:21 50:12	62:8
passes 23:11	permission	plays 28:15	74:16 78:3,4	preservation
passing 7:16	34:14	please 3:10 28:4	87:2	12:4 57:1
17:6 74:3	permit 50:7	48:14	possibility 25:8	60:19 73:1
patch 86:15	permitted 34:24	plenary 10:24	25:10 46:3	preserve 60:24
PAUL 1:18 2:5	63:3	31:12,16,17	65:18	60:25 69:3
27:25	person 3:20 4:5	point 9:13 24:19	possible 7:6	preserving
pay 54:5	4:7 10:24 16:1	28:16 35:3,5	possibly 86:13	14:11 57:5
peaceably 6:9	25:11 50:4,4	35:22,22 36:11	post 32:7	presumably
peculiar 34:16	personal 6:18	36:19,20 38:7	potential 16:7	3:15 14:12
Pennsylvania	7:10,24 9:2,4	40:15,16 48:18	52:19	41:25 77:20
32:16 33:8	14:12,14 16:2	48:24 49:25	powder 64:3,11	presumptively
57:14 66:18	16:5 18:8,9,13	61:13 62:15	71:20	90:15
69:17,25 70:4	19:5 73:1	76:13,15 79:6	power 3:14	presupposed
73:9,13	petition 33:23	80:20 85:24	10:24 13:1,7	11:18
Pennsylvania's	35:13 46:19	86:5,21	13:15 31:11	pretty 52:16
73:10	petitioners 1:5	pointed 29:11	69:14 70:5	61:19
penumbra 27:9	1:17 2:4,12 3:8	31:9 37:11	powerful 89:4	prevailed 49:11
people 4:3,15,18	49:2,6,13	39:19	powers 13:5	prevent 10:18
4:21,22,25 5:2	70:12 81:25	points 40:13	practical 43:14	24:11 42:14
5:4,7 6:8,21	phrase 3:18,21	police 42:25	practice 5:14	72:3 87:22
7:20 8:3 13:2,3	3:24 4:13,18	50:13 64:7	52:22	pre-existing
22:4,7,8,14,24	4:18,21 8:2,10	policies 79:23	practices 77:22	40:4,8,18
23:1 25:12	8:20,20 26:10	policy 49:10	78:9	pre-law 46:25
30:10 31:1	32:23 36:6,12	79:21 80:13,16	praise 57:17	pre-1976 46:22
34:24 35:15,16	37:17	policymakers	pre 46:24	principal 33:23
35:23 36:7	phrased 87:7	79:17,19	preamble 4:25	45:24 56:11,12
50:1,11 51:14	phrases 38:4	polity 5:5	28:15,17 30:19	principally
51:20 52:5,21	44:6	populated 23:4	41:6	27:10
53:2 55:2,13	pick 84:2	portions 49:18	precisely 17:12	principle 63:1
55:15,16,18,23	picked 44:21	poses 23:4	29:12 35:5	principles 6:8
55:25 56:3,14	piece 74:13	position 10:4	preclude 17:6	42:15
56:17 58:3,20	82:22	13:15 21:22	90:7	private 36:16
60:6,9,25 61:4	pistol 22:10 62:6	24:18 28:14	preeminent 41:6	probably 28:23
61:6 62:12	72:6 85:6	29:20 43:23	preexisting	31:5 32:22
65:18 66:5	pistols 23:17	48:24 80:24	39:15,17	43:11 50:18
68:5 71:1	58:22,23 64:10	82:4 89:12	preface 6:6	62:5
72:21 75:22	65:1,4,5	positive 9:17	preliminary	problem 47:10
78:5 81:18	place 27:18	possess 16:1	28:10	53:11 74:4,22
	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	

76.7	71.24	20.5 6 7 0	anito 12:22 10:2	20.10 26.15
76:7	71:24	20:5,6,7,9	quite 13:22 19:3	29:10 36:15
problems 64:18	protected 3:17	25:12 50:22,24	19:9 22:9	40:19 45:2
process 75:7	17:15 21:6	51:1,6 52:11	32:18,21 44:3	50:16 51:10
produced 41:22	29:24 32:14,17	53:24 54:16,16	52:16 68:13	63:16,19 68:15
professionals	46:6 55:9	54:18 55:4	71:8	79:11 85:4
13:2	59:22 62:20	56:11,12 57:4	quote 3:24 9:8	reason 52:1
proficiency	63:6 72:25	57:4,5,5 65:17	20:17	70:22 71:2
53:17,22	protecting 3:19	66:1,2 71:23	R	78:22
prohibit 49:8,21	19:6 41:1	purposes 6:18		reasonable 7:14
57:25 66:4	protection 16:8	14:17 19:20,22	R 3:1	9:15 18:18,19
88:25 89:11	protective 14:9	24:13 25:19	raise 25:10	19:10 21:17
prohibited	protects 22:20	26:16 57:20	raised 48:18	23:14 25:18,21
29:22 50:12	57:22	66:6 68:23	ranges 52:23	39:18 48:7
87:2,15	protestants	72:20 85:9,17	rate 53:1,4	51:1,2,23
prohibiting	17:15 68:2	put 13:3 14:5	78:17,20 80:5	58:13,16 60:18
87:21	provide 43:5	77:8 82:21	ratifier 57:13	64:17 66:3
prohibition	provides 88:4	83:5 84:15	ratifying 67:21	72:11 75:13,19
20:22 46:12	provision 4:13		Rawle 57:11,12	77:10,14 79:16
50:10 65:5	21:15 24:14	Q	reaction 13:11	81:1 82:2
prohibitions	30:1 34:8,13	qualification	reactivating	reasonableness
10:16	34:22 41:17	23:24	10:4	9:10 18:14
prohibits 18:25	42:4,7,13 43:6	qualified 57:17	read 5:20 8:18	22:23 48:7
81:10	50:9 56:9,10	qualify 60:3	22:2 23:23	78:10 82:6
prologue 45:16	68:1,11 69:20	quarrel 51:13	36:5,14 46:18	89:3
promote 20:19	71:15 72:14,15	question 9:14	47:9,12 51:8	reasonably
prong 63:7	73:10 85:13	12:15 13:19,19	52:14,15 77:2	47:21 63:19
prongs 55:5	87:9,12,25	15:6 16:12	83:5 86:2 88:5	reasoning 53:10
proper 24:3	provisions 5:15	18:5 21:18	readily 71:8	reasons 25:22
proportionate	15:8,12 18:12	25:16 28:10,21	reading 7:9,10	39:10 71:13
51:5,23 84:24	21:12 29:4	35:9 36:4 41:4	10:5 12:7,9	84:6
85:1	30:2 32:9	42:3 43:19	29:15 38:16	reassemble 72:6
proposal 32:25	33:21 34:20	45:7 50:16,22	45:6,8 62:19	rebuttal 2:10
33:2,3,8,12	35:11 38:5	53:25 58:11	76:21 84:2	25:1,4 81:24
proposals 70:12	42:6 57:8	59:2,5 60:16	readings 48:3	recall 17:22
propose 44:2	66:24 67:8	65:24 66:1	reads 49:20	recognition
proposed 12:2	70:25 71:1,10	73:23 74:1,17	ready 24:24	34:16
13:11 32:1,2,4	71:11,18 73:4	76:20 79:2,14	53:3	recognize 69:12
37:16 44:6	73:7 80:14	79:14 83:4	reaffirm 5:25	90:21
70:10,15,19	provocation	85:14 87:16	6:8 57:8	recognized
prosecute 50:11	70:2	88:22	reaffirmation	40:14,17 53:17
prosecution	provoked 69:22	questionable	6:11	54:25 61:7
50:9	public 18:8 19:4	51:17	reaffirming	record 69:9 81:8
protect 7:23	20:14 89:17,19	questionably	5:21	recreational
14:6 15:22	pull 83:15	81:19	reaffirms 6:7,10	24:12 72:17
18:12 27:16	purpose 5:21	questioning	real 16:6 21:10	red 5:18
30:11 31:14	7:5 10:18,21	57:19	62:16	redefine 55:18
41:16 57:23	14:8 19:6 20:5	quick 21:10	really 4:19 5:1	refer 3:21 66:18

			•	•
67:8,9	60:19	31:22	48:7 53:15	57:24 58:3,5,9
reference 13:22	relatively 35:2	reserves 12:8	66:4 74:8,9	58:11,14 60:15
34:14,15,21	42:12	resisted 7:13	78:21 79:7	60:24 64:2
35:18,19 36:10	relevance 62:16	resolution 90:22	80:20 81:20	65:21,22 67:22
54:2 66:22,24	62:18	resolve 90:23	revolt 69:5	68:16 69:12
references 4:20	relevant 15:9,11	respect 12:18	revolution 73:18	71:5 72:4,23
6:17 35:14	35:7,9 37:14	37:15 41:12,13	revolutionary	72:25 73:1,2
referred 8:11,11	relied 57:2	42:7 46:1	57:2	73:15,16,17
20:18 40:3,4	religious 4:2	48:25 74:4	revolver 53:21	74:5,7,13,17
47:5 66:19	religiously 4:5	respects 27:4	rifle 24:10 85:5	76:22,25 77:25
referring 28:12	reluctantly 11:4	45:3	85:8	78:5,9 80:16
refers 44:12	rely 4:25 9:19	respond 25:14	rifles 19:15,18	84:5 85:22
regard 33:20	remain 25:3	48:18,22,23	19:25 20:1,2	87:25 89:18
41:10	57:9	Respondent	26:15 51:22	90:19,20
register 86:25	remainder	1:22 2:9	52:23 58:21	rights 6:7,10
87:14	24:25	Respondents	63:10	12:8,11,12,17
registered 87:14	remained 41:7	10:13 48:4,12	right 3:17,19 4:2	12:21 14:5
regulate 11:9	remember 31:8	response 3:11	4:10,11,14,16	16:18,20 17:5
17:7,11	73:11 78:7	51:23 59:1,3	4:23 5:1,7,8,9	17:14,15 28:22
regulated 4:4	remind 56:25	79:16	5:10,25 6:2,5,8	28:24 29:5
6:20 26:1,7,9	57:4	responsive	6:9,21 7:20 8:2	32:6 33:17,21
26:11	remote 8:14	27:13	8:8,24 9:5,6,7	33:22 34:2,9
regulating 64:20	remove 80:12	rest 67:9	9:14 12:20	34:14,20 35:6
regulation 5:9	82:10,24 83:10	restraint 10:6	13:21 14:6,11	35:12,15,19
9:10 18:15	removed 27:4	restrict 5:1	14:14,14,15,15	38:23 39:21
19:11,12 25:19	render 4:6 7:6	restricted 13:24	14:23 15:23,24	53:16 54:10,13
25:21 31:13	50:2,7	restricting	16:1,2,5,9,15	67:24,25 68:12
58:14 65:17,23	rendering 3:25	43:17	16:18 17:19	70:13,16,18
65:23 66:4	repeal 13:14	restriction 34:3	18:4,7,9,12,25	76:18 80:12,19
81:10 90:14,16	repeatedly	44:15 46:10	19:5,16 20:8	risk 64:12,14
regulations 9:15	79:10	53:20 71:12	20:24 24:19	71:19,22
17:13 39:18	replied 90:18	77:18	25:9,11 26:13	ROBERTS 3:3
40:9 44:12	report 58:20	restrictions 9:7	28:6,21,25	4:11,23 9:12
regulatory 27:1	reproduced	16:10 27:20	29:1 30:10	12:6,16 18:17
27:3,4	46:19	34:6 40:6	32:14,14 33:4	18:24 19:11
rejected 33:5,14	require 8:1	77:14,16,21,23	33:17,23,24	23:6,22 25:2
70:10 79:10	41:21 72:10	78:10 80:18	34:18 35:10,12	27:22 44:5
related 6:14,25	75:14,15,16	restrictive 16:17	35:13 36:1,10	46:9 48:8,10
8:6 16:21	requirement	16:25 17:4	38:14,21,22	54:8 60:11
28:24 40:13	54:6 74:15,18	result 44:3	39:14,15,16,16	61:10 71:9,21
41:7 62:5	75:21 87:13	resulted 69:10	39:23 41:11,16	72:4 76:2,9
86:20	requirements	resulting 3:17	44:11,16 50:22	77:13,17 81:22
relatedness	75:20	retainder 32:6	50:24,24 51:7	82:9,16,18,25
11:25	requiring 76:7	retake 11:2	51:20 52:12,16	83:8,22 84:1,8
relation 20:23	reserve 24:25	reversed 82:4	52:17,20 55:16	84:25 85:4
44:16	reserved 12:11	reverting 70:20	55:17,18,20	86:20 87:6,24
relationship	12:18,21 31:19	review 41:18	56:14,16 57:6	91:1
	l	l		

	I	1		I
Robertson	22:18 23:16	52:11 54:2,10	85:12,20,22	sides 51:10
40:15	26:3,7 29:11	54:18,19 55:9	86:2,19	significance
robust 42:12	37:22 40:2,12	55:15,23 56:7	Senate 33:10,12	54:1
rocket 61:8	40:21 42:16	56:13 57:13,15	sense 5:4 8:1	significant 27:3
role 28:15,18	45:15,23 47:2	59:23 66:2,8	17:4 47:4 62:8	44:7
74:8 79:7	47:9 48:20,23	67:3,17 68:11	63:24 65:17	similar 15:7
Roman 17:24	53:6,8,14 56:6	69:11 70:14,16	76:11 85:5	51:20 64:8,9
room 86:22 87:3	66:7,12 68:22	71:3,24 72:23	sensible 18:15	71:11,16,17
rough 51:13	69:2 77:8	73:3,5,12 78:2	separate 9:14	simple 84:14
roughly 51:12	78:22 83:18	78:7 87:18	69:4,4 70:9,25	simply 5:21 6:11
64:13	88:7,14,17	90:9	70:25 87:9	12:12 40:7
rule 24:20 46:1	89:11	seconds 83:17	serve 14:13 56:1	53:11 57:7
63:15	Scalia's 88:23	84:10	served 11:14	63:17 72:21
ruled 50:13	schools 23:2	section 3:12	36:6 57:20	77:2 78:5
run 42:19	89:7	11:18 12:17	serves 52:4	single 89:25
rural 27:12	Sciences 79:10	31:10 32:2,5	service 3:22,25	situation 62:16
89:25	scope 3:16 5:6	75:1	4:7 11:22 27:6	slightly 58:16
	28:18 44:11,15	secure 6:9	28:7 36:3	slower 48:20
S	47:20	security 4:5 6:20	41:11 52:3	solely 46:14
s 2:1 3:1 74:10	Scottish 17:23	14:19 20:20	56:4 61:14	Solicitor 1:18
safe 72:8,10,11	37:24	26:11 27:16	set 70:15 75:12	59:15
72:14,15	scruples 4:2	see 4:20 6:16,18	87:23	somebody 36:22
safety 20:14	scrupulous 4:6	7:8 21:4 47:10	sets 89:24	37:2 42:18
71:13 89:17,19	scrutiny 35:4	47:19 49:18	settler 8:14	43:1 83:20
salient 27:8	39:12 40:10	52:22 58:23	57:23	somewhat 11:4
sanction 59:14	43:13,15,19	59:21 63:24	seven 78:1	sorry 19:23 20:2
sat 57:13	44:2,3 45:9,12	65:5,15,16	seventh 67:25	33:6 38:22
satisfactory	45:13 48:6	69:1 70:6,18	shifting 20:7	sort 44:20 49:14
19:19	72:12 89:3	74:9 75:18	shipment 81:1	52:10 61:9,20
saw 43:1 58:25	90:13	78:10 89:17	shocking 3:14	68:24 75:15
70:15	seat 27:15	seen 66:10,11	12:24	79:16 81:7
sawed-off 81:2	second 3:11,20	67:5	shoot 23:1	85:19
saying 12:10	4:12 5:16 6:16	seized 65:2	shooting 50:13	sounds 18:7
13:21 14:23	7:4,9 8:5,9,18	self 24:24	72:17	35:25 36:11
15:2 24:22	9:17 10:5,23	self-defense	short 10:3,4	sources 37:12
36:22 50:15	11:14,19 12:1	8:24 24:21	shotgun 23:25	Souter 14:22
85:1 87:9	12:10 13:13,14	41:3,16,20	24:10 85:8	15:4 17:3,9
says 4:2 5:25	14:19 15:19	42:12 49:3,9	shotguns 19:15	34:12,19 35:21
12:17 19:20	16:17 20:18	49:14,22,23	26:15 41:21,24	36:15,21 37:2
20:16 41:21	25:10,13,13,16	50:14 65:6,8	63:10 81:2	37:19 39:4,19
45:12 46:19	27:20 28:5	66:6,17,18,22	show 85:10,18	56:21 69:8,16
56:14 60:16,16	29:23 30:3,13	67:9,18,20	showing 19:18	69:21 70:6
75:3,8,8,10	31:23 32:1,25	68:6 69:12,20	19:25 26:15	78:12,16 79:2
83:1	33:1 38:18	69:22 71:19	85:8	79:13 80:3
Scalia 7:8 8:22	39:15 40:17,20	72:21,22 73:3	shows 56:6	South 90:1
11:6,13 17:22	41:13 46:6	79:25 80:6	side 11:25 14:7	so-called 49:3
21:24 22:6,14	47:20 49:13	84:23 85:2,5,9	24:18 37:9	spate 50:6
				1

	-44	42.25	(5.22 (6.8	20.20 44.17
speak 36:1	starting 44:21	43:25	65:22 66:8	39:20 44:17
42:23	state 3:15 4:14	step 26:19	70:3	80:4
speaking 46:24	4:16 6:21 7:13	Stevens 15:6,13	subjects 68:2	surely 7:24
speaks 9:3,7	9:18 10:4,8	15:17 17:14,17	submit 5:20	surplusage 39:1
25:23 56:16	11:2,10,17	28:9,14,19,25	36:25	surprising 3:14
70:20	13:9 14:20	31:16,20 32:8	submitted 91:3	13:6
specialized 47:4	15:8,21 16:9	32:13,18 33:3	91:5	survive 41:18
specific 19:13	16:10 18:11	33:7,8 34:22	subscribe 30:23	78:21
35:14 50:9	20:12,20 21:12	38:13,21 39:23	subsequent	susceptible 45:8
72:16	21:15 25:9	53:25 54:4	41:23	48:3
specifically 12:8	26:12 29:3	55:11 66:16	substantial 42:8	sustain 18:15
29:13,21 37:17	32:9,21 48:6	67:2,7,14,23	suddenly 65:13	47:15
38:5 43:16	66:20,23 67:6	68:10,15 73:2	sufficient 86:1	sustained 20:16
70:14	67:7 69:4 81:4	73:8,9 76:20	suggest 22:23	82:3
speech 40:2,4,5	81:10 88:15,20	77:1 81:4,9,13	39:11 62:22,25	sweeping 81:20
40:10	statement 49:18	stolen 89:8	suggested 6:24	sync 4:19
spoke 58:20	statements	stop 43:13 64:4	14:10 73:4	system 34:10
59:15 60:8	85:25	storage 72:8,10	suggesting	
61:3 72:24	states 1:1,13,20	72:14,15	79:15	T2:1,1
spoken 15:23	2:6 11:20 12:8	store 14:17	suggestion	tailored 44:8
squarely 71:18	12:18 13:4	71:14	88:23	tahored 44.8 take 12:10 13:14
St 68:8	14:1 15:11,18	stored 72:1	suggests 58:23	18:4 28:14
stake 74:8	15:25 18:10,13	Story 8:25	sui 45:4	
stamps 87:20	20:23,25 21:8	Strangely 73:4	suicide 51:16	29:20 30:13
stand 43:2	21:11 27:12,19	Street 83:14	suicides 51:16	43:14,23 44:13
standard 18:5	28:1 48:15	strict 35:4 39:11	suitable 17:17	74:25 77:18
18:14 20:11,15	51:14 52:24	40:9 43:13,15	22:20 34:23	79:3,18 82:4
22:23 39:5,8	64:16 66:17	44:2 45:8,12	68:3,4	82:10 83:9,10
39:11,12 41:18	67:21 70:1,21	45:12 48:6	summary 49:16	85:16 88:8
42:9 43:15,18	73:14,25 76:17	72:12 90:12	supplement	89:1
44:2,10,18,22	90:5	strictly 46:22,24	13:20	taken 23:2 31:1
46:7 51:6	State's 75:15	stricture 80:19	supplemented	57:11 89:7
53:15 58:16	State-managed	strictures 90:9	13:21	takes 83:16 84:9
60:4 66:4	7:12	strike 86:14	supplementing	talk 35:3 37:5
72:13 75:10	State-only 9:21	90:6,13	13:18	39:4,8 43:12
77:7 89:10,15	State-organized	striking 39:22	supplied 60:10	48:20
89:25 90:12	30:7	46:13	61:5	talked 47:16
standards 43:13	statistical 90:23	strongly 61:4	support 38:11	68:1 74:13,14
44:6,18 75:6	statistics 51:11	struck 21:19	suppose 28:23	talking 15:13,15
75:13	78:13 79:3,5,8	structure 71:7	67:24 70:22	15:17 17:25
standard-issue	79:8,15 80:8	struggled 16:13	supposed 41:22	18:1,4 34:5
30:6	90:10	students 81:5,10	72:20	36:15 60:20
standing 13:1	statute 17:6	studies 79:9	supremacy	66:12 67:2
31:8 41:8	43:10 58:19	subject 6:15,23	39:22	77:19 89:21
staple 19:15	65:11 66:15	6:25 9:7 16:20	Supreme 1:1,13	talks 39:16
start 67:24 82:7	89:11	34:3,17 39:25	sure 12:14 23:6	technical 61:2
82:10	statutes 29:12	45:6 56:4	25:3 32:11	tell 57:18 84:4,5
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

			Ī	Ī
telling 12:19	28:13,19 29:2	77:15	74:6	uncommon 56:9
33:20	29:7 30:4,17	times 41:25	trouble 63:16	unconnected
tells 72:19	31:25 32:8,12	81:18	troubles 77:6	20:9
Tenth 6:10	32:15,15 33:9	today 3:4 10:6	troublesome	underinclusive
term 17:24 29:6	33:11,15,20,25	10:12 45:18,20	16:4	62:4
29:8 30:17	34:4,8 35:4,5,8	50:11 52:19	true 9:13 35:1	understand 12:9
37:25 47:22	36:19 37:9,14	62:17 63:9,24	72:7	42:16 52:21
68:4	37:18 38:3,4,6	64:12 71:19	trust 72:21	54:5 61:12
terms 12:2,9	38:8,10,11	80:8 86:18	try 29:8 44:22	67:14 69:23
15:22 25:19	39:10,13,24	today's 30:7	90:22	70:1,3 83:1
65:18 69:20	40:24 41:9	told 60:3	trying 51:11	86:21
87:7	42:4,8,22 43:9	tomorrow 55:19	73:11	understanding
test 43:4 45:4	44:3 45:4,24	top 71:15	Tucker's 68:8	62:11,11 80:25
59:17,24,25	46:2,4,12,16	total 18:18,20	Tuesday 1:10	understandings
75:16	47:12,14,21	23:9,15,20	turkey 88:10	78:9
testing 65:14	54:4,15 59:11	24:5 25:18	turn 83:15,18,18	understood
test's 63:7	59:18 63:15,22	totally 41:18	83:19 84:1	12:12 19:14
Texas 48:6	68:4,10,18	tougher 58:16	twice 70:23	34:2 37:8 65:1
text 3:18 14:18	72:13 73:22	trade 13:3	two 5:19 6:13	73:16 78:6
21:14 28:6	76:7,15 79:13	tradition 78:8	7:19 14:4	undetectable
29:8 41:9 57:8	80:21 81:13	traditional 78:9	25:22 27:4	59:15
67:7,11,16	86:4,16 87:5	train 5:10	28:22,24 29:4	undisputed
90:15	thinking 55:22	trained 9:22	32:14 33:22	49:18
textual 14:6	56:7 73:21	26:3,8,9 65:18	36:12 38:23	undoubtedly
32:21	thought 8:22,23	65:22	40:13 42:3	27:10
Thank 27:22	8:24 9:2 10:23	translated 34:10	49:2 50:17	unfortunately
48:8,9,13	22:2 37:4,21	translation	52:3,15 55:4	44:4
81:21,22 90:25	thousand 22:12	39:20	66:17 67:8	unique 35:2
91:1	74:9	transmitted	84:6	United 1:1,13,20
theories 82:5	thousands 73:25	89:8	two-pronged	2:6 27:19 28:1
thing 31:5 34:8	three 34:20 70:1	transposes 6:19	59:23	51:14 73:25
48:2 58:16	three-digit	treatise 57:12	type 19:13 21:23	76:17
64:10 87:17	83:15	58:8	41:19 47:6	universal 24:20
things 8:16	threshold 35:9	tremendous	55:1 60:5	24:20
44:25 50:17	throwing 86:15	13:11	62:20 63:2	university 81:5
64:15 68:23	Thursdays	tribes 8:15	78:21 79:24	unloaded 41:24
75:18 76:17	75:24	trigger 42:4,7	87:22 89:5	72:2 82:12,19
80:11	time 13:1 15:9	42:13 43:3,5	types 75:17	83:1,6
think 6:5,12 7:1	15:16,19 21:20	73:22,24 74:14	tyrants 7:16	unlock 42:19
8:4,7 9:25 11:1	25:1 42:17	82:7,11,12,17		unlocking 24:11
11:24 12:1	44:13 47:15	82:21,21,23	U	unmistakably
14:4,6 15:7,10	57:23 60:13,15	83:6,10,12	ultimately 63:13	69:19
15:20 16:16,19	60:17,17,18,21	84:19 85:13,14	69:10	unmodified 37:7
16:21,24 17:20	60:22 61:6,6	85:15 86:7,9	unamended	unmoor 16:7
18:9 19:9,25	61:11,15,18,19	86:14,17	31:11	unprotected
22:9,18,24	63:16,25 64:25	trigger-lock	unanimously	55:9
26:13,24 28:10	66:12 67:5	71:10,15,18	7:2,4	unquote 20:17
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

		I	I	I
unrealistic 56:7	usually 59:24	wait 36:21	18:21 20:14,22	wish 63:9 72:13
unreasonable	U.S 52:2	WALTER 1:16	20:24 21:23	86:7
50:16 52:25	₹7	2:3,11 3:7	22:3 23:21	wished 60:24,25
53:3,14 77:5,6	V	81:24	24:6,23 30:7	wishes 62:19
87:17	v 1:6	want 14:16	41:19 47:6	wolves 8:16
unreasonably	Va 1:21	25:25 36:12	59:19 62:20	wonder 44:9
76:22 77:3	valid 86:10	39:2,4 46:2	65:15 84:16,23	wondered 25:7
unregistered	validity 85:24	50:21 53:6,9	85:19 89:5,6	wonderful 62:14
86:25 87:12	89:12	53:10 57:20	weaponry 63:4	63:4
unregulated	variety 33:21	61:23 69:2	weapons 6:17	word 14:2 29:6
14:15,21	various 38:3	73:23,25 75:9	7:18 9:5,8 13:3	29:12 38:5
untether 16:8	44:5,12 71:11	79:13,17 80:3	16:1,21 18:13	39:1 64:25
unusable 86:12	Verdugo-Urq	80:24 82:1	18:16 21:5,12	77:5 88:2
unusual 22:9,10	5:5 35:18	84:16,18	22:20 23:17,23	wording 61:22
upheld 23:13	Vermont 32:16	wanted 9:20,21	27:1,2,21	words 4:15 33:1
uphold 49:7	66:18	11:2,4,5,7	30:14 45:17,19	33:13 36:7
upstairs 64:4,12	version 83:13	25:14 28:21	45:19 52:21	43:13 55:23
urban 23:5	84:13	57:3 58:5	58:18 63:11	58:13 61:12
27:12 89:25	versions 82:20	75:22	65:2 79:24,24	71:13 85:1
urge 42:11 49:3	versus 3:5 49:5	wants 47:23	86:10,11 90:16	87:7
49:14	viable 31:7 41:8	54:12 81:5	Webster 59:20	work 16:13
urged 49:6	view 13:20,24	war 57:2 73:18	weight 28:19	64:17 73:24
usable 84:23	29:3 30:9	warrant 42:25	welcome 47:24	86:11
use 3:21 6:17	40:19 43:11,21	Washington 1:9	well-regulated	world 43:22
8:19 9:5,22	49:8,12 57:11	1:16,19 49:6	14:20 25:24	worried 45:21
10:14,15 12:2	57:12,21 72:13	72:19 74:10	26:20 60:20	65:23
14:12 16:2,5	73:4,23 77:2	78:17	88:6	worry 46:17
16:21 17:24	viewed 25:19	wasn't 12:20	went 32:19	worth 41:10
18:13 19:5	viewing 16:4	20:21 37:22,22	69:25	wouldn't 4:15
22:20 24:8,15	views 58:15	wave 64:8	weren't 17:25	21:6 22:22
24:24 36:8	violate 80:19	way 4:12 7:15	64:6	30:16 43:11
37:1 40:9	83:2	7:18 13:23	West 90:1	47:19 60:2
45:16 51:22	violated 10:16	14:9,13 22:2	We'll 25:3	64:21 70:6
52:7,21 53:22	73:17	26:22 29:25	we're 9:5 34:1,5	75:2 77:8
58:17 59:5,9	violent 50:6	30:19 31:4,14	62:1 66:12	wounded 51:15
60:7,10 61:6	Virginia 70:13	34:19 36:14,16	86:16	51:20
61:17,22 63:5	70:16,23	37:5 47:9,12	we've 6:1 40:7	write 6:5
63:10,23 65:22	Virginia's 70:12	56:24 64:18	66:10,10 74:13	writing 30:23
71:20,23 72:17	virtually 10:24	67:12 68:16	74:14 80:16	52:3
75:15 80:7	31:12	70:7 73:17	whatnot 69:13	written 15:21
86:3 87:4 88:3	vision 75:16	75:23 77:9,11	whatsoever	wrong 86:7
useful 23:21	vis-a-vis 41:8	78:1 79:6 83:7	24:22	wrote 37:16
36:20 45:17	volunteers 13:5	87:20	whichever 63:18	Wyoming 76:10
59:21 61:13	voted 71:3	weak 79:9	wilderness	
63:1,11,13	voting 76:18	weaken 52:4	30:11 57:24	X
68:19,22 69:1	***	weaker 89:19	William 57:11	x 1:2,8
uses 4:21 58:12	W	weapon 9:22	window 42:19	

1		1		
year 51:14 years 44:20 48:25 78:1 York 73:14 young 72:5 0 07-290 1:6 3:4 1 169:15 70:5 1,500 51:20 10 81:23 10:06 1:14 3:2 100,000 51:14 51:17 11th 70:19 11:43 91:4 12 88:17 15 11:19 31:10 16 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 16 10:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 16 10:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 16 18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1789 63:25 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 18 1:10 76:3,8 18 1:10 76:3,8 18 196 6:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:19 21 76:4,8,11,16 22-4504(a) 75:2 22 2000 51:20 200 51:20 200 51:20 200 51:20 200 51:20 200 51:20 200 51:20	Y	2008 1:10		
years 44:20 48:25 78:1 York 73:14 young 72:5 0 07-290 1:6 3:4 1 169:15 70:5 1,500 51:20 29 49:19 3 3 2:4 83:16 84:10 3-22-95 83:20 3:00 75:24 30-caliber 53:21 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.18 30.18 30.18 31:22 32:3 30.18 31:22 32:3 40:30 32:4 83:16 84:10 3-22-95 83:20 3:00 75:24 30-caliber 53:21 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 30.06 88:10 30.07:24 40.79:23 42 15:11 18:10 45 55:25,25 48 2:9 5 80:21 5 7 70a 49:17 8 8:10 32:2 88:10 30.06 88:10 30		21 76:4,8,11,16		
48:25 78:1 York 73:14 young 72:5	•			
York 73:14 young 72:5 0 07-290 1:6 3:4 1 169:15 70:5 1,500 51:20 10 81:23 10:06 1:14 3:2 100,000 51:14 51:17 11th 70:19 11:43 91:4 12 88:17 15 11:19 31:10 16 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1799 65:25 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1828 57:11 1895 66:25 67:2 1942 53:19 9 9 32:5	·	, ,		
29 49:19 3 3 3 24 48 316 84:10 3 32.4 83:16 84:10 3 32.9 58:3:20 3 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 30.02 23:16 51:19 30.06 88:10 3				
0 3 07-290 1:6 3:4 3 1 3-22-95 83:20 169:15 70:5 3:00 75:24 1,500 51:20 30-caliber 53:21 10:06 1:14 3:2 300 22:16 51:19 100,000 51:14 300 22:16 51:19 51:17 4 11:43 91:4 42 15:11 18:10 12 28:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 45 55:25,25 31:22 32:3 580:21 76:10 5 160,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 1783 66:15 53a 46:18 1789 66:15 60s 76:19 634 65:4 6 1792 80:7 70a 49:17 181:10 76:3,8 83:12 11:18 182:17 31:10 32:2 182 53:19 80 51:9 79:25 1942 53:19 80 51:9 79:25 1978 49:5 9 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19 9				
3 3 2:4 83:16 4 32:2-95 83:20 3:00 75:24 300 75:24 30-caliber 53:21 30.06 88:10 300 22:16 51:19 300 22:16 51:19 40 79:23 42 15:11 18:10 11:43 91:4 45 55:25,25 12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 45 55:25,25 16 11:19 31:10 5 16 16:19 31:10 5 16 10,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 53:18 67:24 33:18 67:24 53a 46:18 1795 63:25 7 1790 57:14 60s 76:19 534 65:4 6 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 8 1800 65:3 82:2 182 57:11 80.000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19 9				
1				
1 69:15 70:5 3:00 75:24 1,500 51:20 30-caliber 53:21 10 81:23 30.06 88:10 100,000 51:14 51:17 51:17 4 11th 70:19 40 79:23 11:43 91:4 42 15:11 18:10 12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 5 31:22 32:3 76:10 76:10 50 19:7,9 48:15 53a 46:18 53a 46:18 33:18 67:24 6 1783 66:15 7 1790 57:14 534 65:4 58:21 80:7 7 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1942 53:19 80 51:9 79:25 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19	07-290 1:6 3:4			
1,500 51:20 10 81:23 10:06 1:14 3:2 100,000 51:14 51:17 11th 70:19 11:43 91:4 12 88:17 15 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1799 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1792 80:7 18 1:20 200 51:20 200 51:20 200 51:19	1	3-22-95 83:20		
1,500 51:20 30-caliber 53:21 10 81:23 30.06 88:10 100,000 51:14 300 22:16 51:19 51:17 4 11th 70:19 4 11:43 91:4 42 15:11 18:10 12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 45 55:25,25 16 11:19 31:10 5 31:22 32:3 5 76:10 5 160,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 1783 66:15 6 1789 63:25 7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 8 1890 65:3 83:12 11:18 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1942 53:19 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 2,000 51:19	1 69:15 70:5	3:00 75:24		
10 81:23 30.06 88:10 10:06 1:14 3:2 300 22:16 51:19 100,000 51:14 4 51:17 4 11th 70:19 40 79:23 11:43 91:4 45 55:25,25 12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 45 55:25,25 16 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 5 160,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 53a 46:18 33:18 67:24 6 175 55:25 70:17 634 65:4 1790 57:14 634 65:4 58:21 80:7 70a 49:17 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 300 52:10 30:2 80 51:9 79:25 80,000 51:14,17 81 2:12 9 9 932:5		30-caliber 53:21		
10:06 1:14 3:2 300 22:16 51:19 100,000 51:14 4 51:17 4 11th 70:19 4 11:43 91:4 42 15:11 18:10 12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 45 55:25,25 31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 53a 46:18 33:18 67:24 6 1755:25 70:17 60s 76:19 634 65:4 6 60s 76:19 634 65:4 7 70a 49:17 58:21 80:7 7 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 32:2 80 51:9 79:25 80,000 51:14,17 81 2:12 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19 32:5	*	30.06 88:10		
100,000 51:14 51:17 11th 70:19 11:43 91:4 40 79:23 42 15:11 18:10 45 55:25,25 48 2:9				
51:17 11th 70:19 11:43 91:4 12 88:17 15 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1789 63:25 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1825 56:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19				
11th 70:19 11:43 91:4 12 88:17 15 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 1755:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1789 63:25 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1828 57:11 1895 66:25 67:2 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19	,	4		
11:43 91:4 42 15:11 18:10 12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 5 31:22 32:3 580:21 76:10 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 1755:25 70:17 60s 76:19 17th 83:14 6 1789 63:25 7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 58:21 80:7 70a 49:17 1791 61:23 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1974 253:19 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2000 51:20 9 2000 51:19		40 79:23		
12 88:17 45 55:25,25 15 11:19 31:10 5 31:22 32:3 580:21 76:10 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 53a 46:18 33:18 67:24 6 17 55:25 70:17 60s 76:19 17th 83:14 634 65:4 1783 66:15 7 1790 57:14 634 65:4 58:21 80:7 7 1791 61:23 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1974 253:19 9 1978 49:5 9 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19		42 15:11 18:10		
15 11:19 31:10 48 2:9 16 11:19 31:10 5 31:22 32:3 5 76:10 5 160,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 6 17th 83:14 6 1783 66:15 7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 58:21 80:7 7 1791 61:23 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 83:12 11:18 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 9		45 55:25,25		
16 11:19 31:10 31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1828 57:11 1895 66:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19		48 2:9		
31:22 32:3 76:10 160,000 47:1 5 80:21 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 6 17th 83:14 6 1783 66:15 6 1790 57:14 634 65:4 58:21 80:7 7 1791 61:23 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 9				
76:10 580:21 160,000 47:1 50 19:7,9 48:15 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 6 17th 83:14 6 1783 66:15 6 1790 57:14 634 65:4 58:21 80:7 7 1791 61:23 8 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 9				
160,000 47:1 1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 6 17 55:25 70:17 6 17th 83:14 6 1783 66:15 7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 58:21 80:7 70a 49:17 1791 61:23 8 1800 65:3 83:12 11:18 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 9				
1689 16:18 33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 6 17th 83:14 60s 76:19 1789 63:25 7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 200 51:19 9				
33:18 67:24 17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1789 63:25 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1828 57:11 1895 66:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	53a 46:18		
17 55:25 70:17 17th 83:14 1783 66:15 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 1792 80:7 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1828 57:11 1895 66:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19				
17th 83:14 60s 76:19 1783 66:15 7 1790 57:14 7 58:21 80:7 8 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 83:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 81 2:12 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 32:5				
1783 66:15 1789 63:25 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 18 1:10 76:3,8 1800 65:3 1828 57:11 1895 66:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19	17th 83:14			
1789 63:25 7 1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1895 66:25 67:2 80,51:9 79:25 1942 53:19 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 200 51:19 9	1783 66:15	034 65:4		
1790 57:14 58:21 80:7 70a 49:17 1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 9		7		
58:21 80:7 8 1791 61:23 8 1802 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 181:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 200 51:19 9				
1791 61:23 8 1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1895 66:25 67:2 80,51:9 79:25 1942 53:19 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 32:2		/ Ua 49:1/		
1792 80:7 8 3:12 11:18 18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 200 51:19 9		8		
18 1:10 76:3,8 12:17 31:10 1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1942 53:19 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 9 1978 49:5 9 2 9 200 51:19 9	1792 80:7			
1800 65:3 32:2 1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1976 21:21 81 2:12 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 32:2 80,000 51:14,17 81 2:12 9 9 32:5				
1828 57:11 80 51:9 79:25 1895 66:25 67:2 80,000 51:14,17 1942 53:19 81 2:12 1978 49:5 9 2 9 2,000 51:20 9 200 51:19 9	,			
1895 66:25 67:2 1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19	1828 57:11	_ :		
1942 53:19 1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19				
1976 21:21 1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19				
1978 49:5 2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19				
2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19		9		
2 2,000 51:20 200 51:19		9 32:5		
200 51:19	2			
200 51:19	2,000 51:20			
	200 51:19			
	2001 43:24			
I I I				
		1	ı	